



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
1) There are no indication of reference citations in the text 2) Figures 5.6 repeat the data presented in the appropriate Tables 3) Table 5 what elements are presented?- what do you mean using CK, OK, KK??? What is the unit "Atomic %" 4) Titratable acidity should be present in % calculated as citric(?) or malic (?) acid. What acid was used for a calibration 5) Material and methods section contains no information about methods of the determination of flavonoids, saponins, glycosides, therpenoids, tannins, phenolics no information how mineral composition was determined. No information what concrete equipment was used in the analysis: refractometer, pH meter, SEM, etc. The producer should be also indicated 6) section 2.4 is not a description of the methods used, but a discussion of the results In a whole: Major revision is necessary.	1. Thank you for the observation. In-text citations have now been incorporated throughout the manuscript in the Introduction, Materials and Methods, and Discussion sections according to journal requirements. 2. Thank you for the suggestion. Duplicate presentation of data has been removed. The results are now presented only once to avoid redundancy and improve manuscript clarity. 3. Thank you for the comment. The elemental abbreviations (C K, O K, K K) and the meaning of Atomic (%) have been clearly defined in the revised table and table footnotes. 4. Thank you for the suggestion. The titratable acidity measurement has been revised and is now expressed as % citric acid equivalent in both the Materials and Methods and Results sections. 5. Thank you for the valuable suggestion. Detailed methodologies for phytochemical screening, mineral composition analysis, and instrumental analysis have been incorporated. The equipment used, along with manufacturer details, have also been specified in the revised Materials and Methods section. 6. Thank you for the comment. Section 2.4 has been revised and restructured. Interpretative statements and result-related discussions have been moved to the Results and Discussion section, while only methodological details have been retained in Materials and Methods. Overall major revision is done.