

Existence of solutions for a mixed local and nonlocal elliptic problem in \mathbb{R}^N

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the existence of solutions to the following equation:

$$-\Delta u + (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u + \mu|u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N \geq 3$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mu \geq 0$, $\mu + \lambda > 0$, $0 < s < 1$, and $2_s^* \leq p < q \leq 2^*$. Here, $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$ and $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ denote the fractional and Sobolev critical exponents, respectively. We analyze three distinct scenarios regarding the parameters p , q , λ , and μ . By combining the mountain pass theorem with Lions' lemma and the principle of concentration compactness, we establish the existence of a nontrivial solution for each case.

Keywords: Mixed local and nonlocal operators; Sobolev inequality; Principle of concentration compactness

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A15, 35J60, 35R11.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of solutions to the following equation:

$$-\Delta u + (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u + \mu|u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where $N \geq 3$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mu \geq 0$, $\mu + \lambda > 0$, $0 < s < 1$, and $2_s^* \leq p < q \leq 2^*$. Here, $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$ and $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ denote the fractional and Sobolev critical exponents, respectively. In the above, $\Delta u = \text{div}(\nabla u)$ is the classical Laplace operator, while $(-\Delta)^s$ represents the fractional Laplacian. For any function $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the fractional Laplacian is defined pointwise as

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)} \frac{u(y) - u(x)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

where $B_\varepsilon(x)$ denotes the ball in \mathbb{R}^N centered at x with radius $\varepsilon > 0$.

Equation (1.1) is motivated by the classical sharp Sobolev inequality:

$$S \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}, \tag{1.2}$$

where S is the optimal constant. Sharp Sobolev inequalities, both in local and nonlocal settings, have been extensively studied and play a fundamental role in the theory of partial differential equations, geometric analysis, and continuum mechanics. The determination of sharp constants and their extremal functions is of particular importance; see, for example, [1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14, 17, 20–24, 26, 27].

In the local case, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain. The classical Sobolev (q, p) -inequality states that

$$S \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where $1 \leq p < N$ and $1 < q < p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$. Here, S is the Sobolev constant. A standard approach to prove the attainability of the best constant is to show that the following Dirichlet problem admits a solution $u_q \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$:

$$-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = \lambda_q(\Omega) \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{p-q} |u|^{q-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

We refer to [2, 13, 20, 23] and the references therein for details in the local case. For related results in the nonlocal setting, see [7, 8, 19, 21, 22] and the references therein.

When $q = p^*$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, the minimization problem (1.2) can be solved via symmetrization techniques. We refer to the classical works of Aubin [1] and Talenti [27], as well as the references therein, for further details.

In the context of mixed local and nonlocal operators, Garain et al. [15] considered the following minimization problem defined on a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geq 2$:

$$\mu(\Omega) = \inf_{\substack{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy : \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} f dx = 1 \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $0 < \delta < 1 < p < \infty$, $0 < s < 1$, and f is a nonnegative function belonging to $L^m(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$. The authors established that $\mu(\Omega)$ is attained by a solution of the associated Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$-\Delta_p u + (-\Delta)_p^s u = \frac{f(x)}{u(x)^\delta} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega.$$

In the particular case where $1 - \delta = p$ and $f = 1$, the value $\mu(\Omega)$ corresponds to the first eigenvalue of the mixed operator under the Dirichlet boundary condition. This setting has been extensively studied, see, for instance, [5, 11, 12, 16] and the references therein.

It is well-known that the best constant S in the classical Sobolev inequality is attained in the space $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, defined as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$.

In contrast, for the mixed local and nonlocal setting, Biagi et al. [3] showed that the optimal constant $S_{s,q}$ is never attained in the space $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Here, $S_{s,q}$ is defined by

$$S_{s,q} = \inf_{\substack{u \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ u \neq 0}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q dx = 1 \right\}, \quad (1.4)$$

with $q = 2^*$, and the space $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_0} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (|\nabla u|_2^2 + [u]_{s,2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where we denote $|\nabla u|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ and $[u]_{s,2} = \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We note from Lemma 2.1 that the embedding $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous, which is a distinctive feature compared to the purely local case. This leads to a natural question regarding whether the Sobolev inequality is attained when $2_s^* \leq q < 2^*$. The following results provide a complete answer to this question.

Theorem 1.1. *If $q = 2_s^*$, then the optimal constant $S_{s,q}$ in (1.4) is not attained.*

Theorem 1.2. *If $q \in (2_s^*, 2^*)$, then the optimal constant $S_{s,q}$ in (1.4) is attained.*

As is well known, the study of optimizers for Sobolev-type inequalities is closely related to the existence of solutions to the equation

$$-\Delta u + (-\Delta)^s u = |u|^{q-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.5}$$

When $q = 2_s^*$ or $q = 2^*$, the above equation admits no nontrivial solutions, as follows from Theorem 1.1 and [3, Theorem 1.2]. This observation naturally leads us to investigate the more general equation (1.1). We analyze three distinct parameter regimes, and our main results are summarized below.

Theorem 1.3. *For any $p, q \in (2_s^*, 2^*)$, equation (1.1) admits a nontrivial solution for all $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let $p = 2_s^*$. For any $q \in (2_s^*, 2^*)$ and $\mu > 0$, there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that equation (1.1) admits a nontrivial solution for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. Equivalently, for any $q \in (2_s^*, 2^*)$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\mu^* > 0$ such that equation (1.1) admits a nontrivial solution for all $\mu > \mu^*$.*

Theorem 1.5. *Let $q = 2^*$. $\lambda + \mu$ is small enough. For any $p \in (2_s^*, 2^*)$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\mu^{**} > 0$ such that equation (1.1) admits a nontrivial solution for all $\mu \in (0, \mu^{**})$.*

Plan of the paper. The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 contains the preliminary results. Section 3 addresses the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Section 4 deals with the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. In Section 5, we prove a mixed-type concentration-compactness result. Finally, Section 6 establishes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

2 Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1. *The embedding $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous for $q \in [2_s^*, 2^*]$, but it is not compact in this range.*

Proof. From the definition of $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the embeddings

$$X_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad X_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

are clearly continuous. Consequently, we have

$$S_2 \|u\|_{2_s^*}^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq \|u\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad S_1 \|u\|_{2_s^*}^2 \leq [u]_{s,2}^2 \leq \|u\|^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$S_1 = \inf_{u \in D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{[u]_{s,2}^2}{\|u\|_{2_s^*}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_2^2}{\|u\|_{2^*}^2}.$$

Inequality (2.1) implies that $u \in L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the interpolation inequality, we obtain

$$\|u\|_r \leq \|u\|_{2_s^*}^\theta \|u\|_{2^*}^{1-\theta}, \quad (2.2)$$

for any $r \in [2_s^*, 2^*]$, where θ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\theta}{2_s^*} + \frac{1-\theta}{2^*}.$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.2) yields

$$\|u\|_r \leq \frac{1}{S_2^{(1-\theta)/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{S_1^{\theta/2}} \|u\|. \quad (2.3)$$

This completes the proof of the continuity of the embedding.

To show that the embedding is not compact, it suffices to construct a bounded sequence in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that has no convergent subsequence in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2_s^*, 2^*]$. Note that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is translation-invariant. For any nonzero $u \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and any vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, define the sequence

$$u_k(x) = u(x + ky), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, but is not precompact in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2_s^*, 2^*]$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $r > 0$ and $2_s^* \leq q < 2^*$. Suppose $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y,r)} |u_n|^q dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $p \in (2_s^, 2^*)$.*

Proof. Let $q < t < 2^*$ and $u \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^t(B(y,r))} &\leq \|u\|_{L^q(B(y,r))}^{1-\lambda} \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(B(y,r))}^\lambda \\ &\leq c \|u\|_{L^q(B(y,r))}^{1-\lambda} \left(\int_{B(y,r)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\lambda/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{t-q}{2^*-q} \cdot \frac{2^*}{t}$. Choosing $\lambda = 2/t$, we obtain

$$\int_{B(y,r)} |u|^t dx \leq c^t \|u\|_{L^q(B(y,r))}^{(1-\lambda)t} \int_{B(y,r)} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Now, covering \mathbb{R}^N by balls of radius r in such a way that each point of \mathbb{R}^N is contained in at most $N+1$ balls, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^t dx &\leq (N+1) c^t \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left[\int_{B(y,r)} |u|^q dx \right]^{(1-\lambda)t/q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\leq (N+1) c^t \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left[\int_{B(y,r)} |u|^q dx \right]^{(1-\lambda)t/q} \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumptions of the lemma, it follows from the Sobolev and Hölder inequalities that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2_s^* < t < 2^*$. Since $2_s^* \leq s \leq 2^*$, $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2_s^* < p < 2^*$. \square

Definition 2.1. We say that u is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if $u(x)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{2-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \mathcal{A}u(x, y) (v(x) - v(y)) dx dy = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} u(x) v(x) dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q-2} u(x) v(x) dx \quad (2.4)$$

for all $v \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where for simplicity

$$\mathcal{A}u(x, y) = \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}}. \quad (2.5)$$

It is clear that the energy functional $J_{\lambda, \mu} : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with problem (1.1), defined by

$$J_{\lambda, \mu}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q dx,$$

is well defined on the space $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, its Fréchet derivative at u in the direction v is given by

$$\langle J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{2-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \mathcal{A}u(x, y) (v(x) - v(y)) dx dy - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} u(x) v(x) dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q-2} u(x) v(x) dx$$

for any $v \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. One can verify that $J_{\lambda, \mu} \in C^1(X_0, \mathbb{R})$, and thus the critical points of $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ correspond precisely to the weak solutions of problem (1.1).

Definition 2.2. A sequence $\{u_n\}_n \subset X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is called a $(PS)_c$ sequence, if $J_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and $J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 2.3. If $\{u_n\}_n$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$, then $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $c \geq 0$.

Proof. Since $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence and $2 < p < q$, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|u_n\|^2 \leq J_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) - \frac{1}{p} \langle J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle \leq c + o(1). \quad (2.6)$$

We conclude that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Passing to the limit in (2.6), we deduce that $c \geq 0$, which completes the proof. \square

3 The best constant

In this section, we first present the proof of Theorem 1.1. To this end, we begin by determining the sharp constant in (1.4) for the case $q = 2_s^*$, defined as

$$S_{s, q} := \inf \left\{ \|u\|^2 : u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the unit sphere in $L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \left\{ u \in L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_{2_s^*} = 1 \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$, and in view of the continuous embedding, we also have

$$S_{s,q} := \inf \left\{ \|u\|^2 : u \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$. Then the identity $S_{s,q} = S_1 = \tilde{S}_1$ holds, where \tilde{S}_1 is defined as*

$$\tilde{S}_1 := \inf \{ [u]_{s,2}^2 : u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^N) \}.$$

Proof. We now show that $S_{s,q} = \tilde{S}_1$. By density arguments, it follows directly that $S_{s,q} = S_1 = \tilde{S}_1$. Since $\|u\| \geq [u]_{s,2}$ for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have $S_{s,q} \geq \tilde{S}_1$.

To establish the reverse inequality, consider any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and define the rescaled function $u_k := k^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} u(kx)$. One readily verifies that

$$\|u_k\|_{2_s^*} = \|u\|_{2_s^*} \quad \text{and} \quad [u_k]_{s,2} = [u]_{s,2}.$$

From the definition of $S_{s,q}$, it follows that

$$S_{s,q} \leq \|u_k\|^2 = \|\nabla u_k\|_2^2 + [u_k]_{s,2}^2 = k^{2-2s} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + [u]_{s,2}^2.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $S_{s,q} \leq [u]_{s,2}^2$. Since u is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$S_{s,q} \leq \inf \{ [u]_{s,2}^2 : u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^N) \} = \tilde{S}_1,$$

and hence $S_{s,q} = S_1 = \tilde{S}_1$. □

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We proceed by contradiction. Assume that there exists a nonzero function $u_0 \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u_0\|_{2_s^*} = 1$ and

$$\|u_0\|^2 = \|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 + [u_0]_{s,2}^2 = S_{s,q} = S_1.$$

By the embedding $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$S_1 \leq [u_0]_{s,2}^2 \leq \|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 + [u_0]_{s,2}^2 = S_{s,q} = S_1,$$

which yields $\|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 = 0$. Therefore, u_0 must be constant throughout \mathbb{R}^N , contradicting the assumption $\|u_0\|_{2_s^*} = 1$. □

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we employ the constrained minimization method. Consider a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\}_n \subset X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$\|u_n\|_q = 1, \quad \|u_n\|^2 \rightarrow S_{s,q}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.4)$$

If necessary, we may extract a subsequence. By Lemma 2.3, we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which implies

$$\|u\|^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^2 = S_{s,q}.$$

Therefore, u is a minimizer provided that $\|u\|_q = 1$. However, we only know that $\|u\|_q \leq 1$. Indeed, for any $v \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the translated function $v^y(x) := v(x + y)$ satisfies

$$\|v^y\|_q = \|v\|_q, \quad \|v^y\| = \|v\|.$$

Consequently, the problem is invariant under the non-compact group of translations.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $\|u\|_q = 1$ and $q \in (2_s^*, 2^*)$, Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\delta := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y,1)} |u_n|^{2_s^*} > 0.$$

Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume the existence of $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\int_{B(y_n,1)} |u_n|^{2_s^*} > \frac{\delta}{2}$. Define $v_n := u_n^{y_n}$. Then $\|v_n\|_q = 1$, $\|v_n\|^2 \rightarrow S_{s,q}$ and $\int_{B(0,1)} |v_n|^{2_s^*} > \frac{\delta}{2}$. Since $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we may assume, passing to a subsequence if necessary,

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } X_0(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad v_n \rightarrow v \text{ in } L_{loc}^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad v_n \rightarrow v \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By the Brézis-Lieb Lemma,

$$1 = \|v\|_q^q + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_q^q,$$

where $w_n := v_n - v$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{s,q} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|^2 = \|v\|^2 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|^2 \\ &\geq S_{s,q} \left[\|v\|_q^2 + \left(1 - \|v\|_q^q\right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{B(0,1)} |v_n|^{2_s^*} > \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $v \neq 0$, we obtain $\|v\|_q^q = 1$, and thus

$$\|v\|^2 = S_{s,q} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|^2.$$

The proof is complete. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4

To prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, we require the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *If $\{u_n\}_n$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$, then, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, for every $2_s^* \leq p < q < 2^*$, we have*

$$c \geq \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \quad \text{or} \quad c = 0.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.3, we know that $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y,r)} |u_n|^q \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we obtain $\|u_n\|_q^q \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\{u_n\}_n$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $\|u_n\|_q^q \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} c = J_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^q dx + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p dx + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$0 = \|u_n\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^q dx + o(1) = \|u_n\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p dx + o(1). \quad (4.2)$$

From (4.2) and the Sobolev inequality, we obtain

$$\|u_n\|_p \geq \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \quad \text{or} \quad \|u_n\|_p = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Then (4.1) and (4.3) imply that

$$c \geq \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \quad \text{or} \quad c = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *For each $\lambda, \mu > 0$, there exist $\vartheta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ such that $J_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq \vartheta$ for all $u \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|u\| = \rho$.*

Proof. It follows from the Sobolev inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu}(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda S_{s,p}^{-\frac{p}{2}}}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{\mu S_{s,q}^{-\frac{q}{2}}}{q} \|u\|^q. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Define the function

$$g(t) := \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda S_{s,p}^{-\frac{p}{2}}}{p} t^{p-2} - \frac{\mu S_{s,q}^{-\frac{q}{2}}}{q} t^{q-2} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Clearly, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(t) = \frac{1}{2} > 0$ since $p, q > 2$. Taking $\rho := \|u\|$ sufficiently small such that

$$\frac{\lambda S_{s,p}^{-\frac{p}{2}}}{p} \rho^{p-2} + \frac{\mu S_{s,q}^{-\frac{q}{2}}}{q} \rho^{q-2} < \frac{1}{2},$$

we obtain

$$J(u) \geq g(\rho)\rho^2 =: \vartheta.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Our goal is to identify a specific $(PS)_c$ sequence $\{u_n\}_n$ for which the value of c is less than $\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$. By Lemma 4.1, we can conclude that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ with $u \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We verify the assumptions of the mountain pass theorem. By Theorem 1.2, there exists $v_0 \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|v_0\|^2 = S_{s,p}$ and $\|v_0\|_p = 1$. For any $t \geq 0$, we have

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(tv_0) = \frac{1}{2}t^2\|v_0\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p}t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_0|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{q}t^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_0|^q dx.$$

Since $2 < 2_s^* \leq p < q < 2^*$, there exists $e := t_0 v_0$ satisfying $\|e\| > \rho$, $J_{\lambda,\mu}(e) \leq 0$ and

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\mu}(te) < \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}.$$

Define

$$c_{\lambda,\mu} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\lambda,\mu}(\gamma(t)),$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = e\}$.

By Lemma 4.2, we obtain $\vartheta \leq c_{\lambda,\mu} < \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$. Therefore, we obtain a $(PS)_c$ sequence $\{u_n\}_n$ satisfying $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ with $u \neq 0$, and the level c satisfies $c < \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$. One can verify that $J'_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0$ and $J_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = c_{\lambda,\mu}$. Hence, u is a nontrivial solution to equation (1.1). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Similarly, we verify the assumptions of the mountain pass theorem. By Theorem 1.2, there exists $\tilde{v}_0 \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|\tilde{v}_0\|^2 = S_{s,q}$ and $\|\tilde{v}_0\|_q = 1$. For any $t \geq 0$, we have

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_0) = \frac{1}{2}t^2\|\tilde{v}_0\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p}t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_0|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{q}t^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_0|^q dx.$$

Since $2 < 2_s^* \leq p < q < 2^*$, there exists $\tilde{e} := \tilde{t}_0 \tilde{v}_0$ satisfying $\|\tilde{e}\| > \rho$, $J_{\lambda,\mu}(\tilde{e}) \leq 0$ and

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{e}) < \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{\mu}{q}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,q}}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}}.$$

Define

$$\tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\lambda,\mu}(\gamma(t)),$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = \tilde{e}\}$.

By Lemma 4.2, we obtain $\vartheta \leq \tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu} < \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{\mu}{q}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,q}}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}}$. For every $\mu > 0$, we can choose λ^* such that

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{\mu}{q}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,q}}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \leq \left(\frac{\lambda^*}{2} - \frac{\lambda^*}{p}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda^*}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}},$$

for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. Alternatively, for every $\lambda > 0$, we can choose μ^* such that

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{\mu}{q}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,q}}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \leq \left(\frac{\mu^*}{2} - \frac{\mu^*}{q}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,q}}{\mu^*}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}},$$

for any $\mu > \mu^*$. Therefore, we obtain a $(PS)_c$ sequence $\{\tilde{u}_n\}_n$ satisfying $\tilde{u}_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ with $\tilde{u} \neq 0$, and the level c satisfies $c < \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$. One can verify that $J'_{\lambda,\mu}(\tilde{u}) = 0$ and $J_{\lambda,\mu}(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu}$. Hence, \tilde{u} is a nontrivial solution to (1.1). \square

5 Mixed version of Principle of concentration Compactness

When $q = 2^*$, to determine the threshold value c , we investigate the concentration-compactness principle for mixed local and nonlocal operators.

Let

$$C_c(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) : \text{supp}(u) \text{ is a compact subset of } \mathbb{R}^N\},$$

and denote by $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the closure of $C_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $|\eta|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |\eta(x)|$. As is well known, a finite measure on \mathbb{R}^N is a continuous linear functional on $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For a measure ϱ , we define the norm

$$\|\varrho\| = \sup_{\substack{\eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ |\eta|_\infty = 1}} |(\varrho, \eta)|,$$

where $(\varrho, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta d\varrho$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denote the finite nonnegative Borel measure space on \mathbb{R}^N . For any $\varrho \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the equation $\varrho(\mathbb{R}^N) = \|\varrho\|$ holds. We say that $\varrho_n \rightarrow \varrho$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $(\varrho_n, \eta) \rightarrow (\varrho, \eta)$ holds for all $\eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\{u_n\}_n \subset X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with upper bound $C > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u && \text{in } X_0(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy &\rightharpoonup \xi && \text{weakly in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ |u_n|^\tau &\rightharpoonup \nu && \text{weakly in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \xi_j \delta_{x_j} + \tilde{\xi}, && \xi(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq C^2, \\ \nu &= |u|^\tau + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, && \nu(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq S^\tau C^2. \end{aligned}$$

where Λ is at most countable, sequence $\{\xi_j\}_j, \{\nu_j\}_j \subset \mathbb{R}_0^+$, $\{x_j\}_j \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, δ_{x_j} is the Dirac mass centered at x_j , $\tilde{\xi}$ is a non-atomic measure,

$$\nu(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \xi(\mathbb{R}^N)^{\tau/2}, \quad \nu_j \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \xi_j^{\tau/2}, \quad \text{for all } j \in \Lambda,$$

$\tau \in [2_s^*, 2^*]$ and $S_{s,\tau}$ is the best constant.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Assume that $\{u_n\}_n \subset X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the sequence given by Theorem 5.1, let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ fixed and let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi \equiv 1$ in $B_1(0)$, $\varphi \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)$, and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 2$. Set $\varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi((x - x_0)/\varepsilon)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2 |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(y)|^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - \varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. we first prove (5.1),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2 |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 dx \leq \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(x_0) \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_0)} \frac{2^2}{\varepsilon^2} |u_n|^2 dx = \int_{B_2(x_0) \setminus B_1(x_0)} 2^2 \varepsilon^{N-2} |u_n|^2 dx \leq 2^2 \varepsilon^{N-2} C \|u_n\|^2,$$

so the proof of (5.1) is complete as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ by $2 < N$, and $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. we can refer to [28, Lemma 2.3] for the proof of (5.2), so here we omit it. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We will divide our proof into four parts.

Part 1. We first prove that $\xi(\mathbb{R}) \leq C^2$ and $\nu(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \xi(\mathbb{R}^N)^{\tau/2}$, $\nu_j \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \xi_j^{\tau/2}$, for all $j \in \Lambda$. For $\varrho > 0$, take $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_{2\varrho}(0))$ satisfying $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_\varrho(0)$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \eta(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta(x) d\xi$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\|u_n\| \leq C$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \eta(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy dx \leq C^2.$$

Hence, $\xi(B_\varrho(0)) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta d\xi \leq C^2$, let $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$, we get that $\xi(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq C^2$. Similarly, we can obtain $\nu(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \xi(\mathbb{R}^N)^{\tau/2}$, $\nu_j \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \xi_j^{\tau/2}$, since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q dx \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \|u_n\|^{\tau/2}$.

Part 2. We claim that

$$\xi = |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \xi_j \delta_{x_j} + \tilde{\xi},$$

where $\{x_j\}_j \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $\{\xi_j\}_j \subset [0, \infty)$, Λ is an at most countable set, $\tilde{\xi}$ is a nonnegative non-atomic measure and δ_{x_j} is the Dirac mass at x_j .

Take $0 \leq \eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and set

$$K(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \eta(x) dx,$$

It is easy to verify K is a continuously differential convex functional on $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so K is weakly lower semicontinuous on $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \eta(x) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \eta(x) dx,$$

using $|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \rightharpoonup \xi$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \eta(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta d\xi.$$

So

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta d\xi \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \eta(x) dx.$$

The arbitrariness of $\eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\eta \geq 0$ implies that

$$\xi \geq |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy.$$

Then we obtain

$$\xi - \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \xi_j \delta_{x_j} + \tilde{\xi}.$$

Part 3. We show that $\nu = |u|^\tau + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}$, where $\{x_j\}_j \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $\{\nu_j\}_j \subset [0, \infty)$.

Take $\eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\{u_n\}_n$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . It follows from the boundedness of $\{u_n\}_n$ in $L^\tau(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the Brézis-Lieb lemma that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^\tau - |u_n - u|^\tau) \eta dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^\tau \eta dx.$$

Set $\bar{\nu} = \nu - |u|^\tau$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^\tau \eta(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta d\nu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta d\bar{\nu} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta d\nu - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^\tau \eta dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u|^\tau \eta dx, \quad (5.3)$$

so that $|u_n|^\tau \rightharpoonup \bar{\nu} \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover,

$$\bar{\nu} = \nu - |u|^\tau = \sum_{j \in \Lambda'} \nu_j \delta_{y_j} + \tilde{\nu}.$$

Next we should prove that the atom of ν is that of ξ and $\tilde{\nu} = 0$. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ fixed and let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi \equiv 1$ in $B_1(0)$, $\varphi \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)$, and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 2$. Set $\varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi(\frac{x-x_0}{\varepsilon})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n \varphi_\varepsilon|^\tau dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^\tau \varphi_\varepsilon^\tau dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon^\tau d\nu \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon^\tau d\nu \rightarrow \nu(\{x_0\}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \varphi_\varepsilon^p dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon^2 d\xi \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon^2 d\xi \rightarrow \xi(\{x_0\}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n \varphi_\varepsilon|^\tau dx \rightarrow \nu(\{x_0\}), \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \varphi_\varepsilon^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2 \varphi_\varepsilon^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = \xi(\{x_0\}). \quad (5.5)$$

Recall the following Young inequality:

$$|\zeta_1 + \zeta_2|^2 \leq (1 + \beta)^{2-1} |\zeta_1|^2 + (1 + 1/\beta)^{2-1} |\zeta_2|^2, \quad (5.6)$$

where $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta > 0$. By the definition of $S_{s,\tau}$ and inequality (5.6), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n \varphi_\varepsilon|^\tau dx &\leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(u_n \varphi_\varepsilon)|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) \varphi_\varepsilon(x) - u_n(y) \varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\tau/2} \\ &\leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - \varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2 |u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 + 1/\beta)^{2-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \right]^{\tau/2} \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

for any $\beta > 0$. By (5.4), (5.5), (5.7) and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\nu(\{x_0\}) \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} (1 + 1/\beta)^{(2-1)\frac{\tau}{2}} \xi(\{x_0\})^{\frac{\tau}{2}}.$$

Then letting $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\nu(\{x_0\}) \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \xi(\{x_0\})^{\frac{\tau}{2}}. \quad (5.8)$$

Hence, the arbitrariness of x_0 implies that the atom of ν is that of ξ , that is $\{y_j : j \in \Lambda'\} \subset \{x_j : j \in \Lambda\}$. So we obtain that

$$\nu - |u|^\tau = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \nu_j \delta_{x_j} + \tilde{\nu}.$$

Next we prove that $\tilde{\nu} = 0$. Assume $\bar{u}_n = u_n - u$, then we have $\bar{u}_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence there exists a subsequence of $\{\bar{u}_n\}_n$ still denoted by $\{\bar{u}_n\}_n$ such that

$$|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\bar{u}_n(x) - \bar{u}_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \rightharpoonup \bar{\xi} \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

For any $0 < r < R$ take $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_R(x_0))$ satisfying $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_r(x_0)$. It follows from the definition of $S_{s,\tau}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\bar{u}_n|^\tau \eta^\tau dx &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\bar{u}_n \eta|^\tau dx \\ &\leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla(\bar{u}_n \eta)|^2 dx + \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|\bar{u}_n(x) \eta(x) - \bar{u}_n(y) \eta(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \\ &\leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \left[2 \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} |\bar{u}_n \nabla \eta|^2 dx + \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|\eta(x) - \eta(y)|^2 |\bar{u}_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla \bar{u}_n \eta|^2 dx + \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|\bar{u}_n(x) - \bar{u}_n(y)|^2 |\eta(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \right]^{\frac{\tau}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Note that

$$|\eta(x) - \eta(y)|^2 \leq (\|\eta\|_{C^1} + 2)^2 \min\{1, |x-y|^2\}$$

for all $x, y \in B_R(x_0)$. Hence, by the compact embedding $X_0(B_R(x_0)) \hookrightarrow L^2(B_R(x_0))$, we can deduce that $\bar{u}_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^2(B_R(x_0))$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|\eta(x) - \eta(y)|^2 |\bar{u}_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ & \leq (\|\eta\|_{C^1} + 2)^2 \int_{B_R(x_0)} \min\{1, |x - y|^2\} |x - y|^{-N-2s} dx \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\bar{u}_n(y)|^2 dy \\ & \leq C \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\bar{u}_n(y)|^2 dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|\eta(x) - \eta(y)|^2 |\bar{u}_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Note that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\eta(x)|^2 \left(|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 + \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|\bar{u}_n(x) - \bar{u}_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^2 d\bar{\xi} \leq \int_{\overline{B_R(x_0)}} d\bar{\xi} = \bar{\xi}(\overline{B_R(x_0)}). \quad (5.11)$$

Inserting (5.10) and (5.11) into (5.9), we get

$$\bar{\nu}(\overline{B_r(x_0)}) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^\tau d\bar{\nu} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^\tau \eta^\tau dx \leq S_{s, \tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \left(2 \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\bar{u}_n \nabla \eta|^2 dx + 2\bar{\xi}(\overline{B_R(x_0)}) \right)^{\frac{\tau}{2}}. \quad (5.12)$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^-$, we have $\int_{B_R(x_0)} |\bar{u}_n \nabla \eta|^2 dx \rightarrow 0$, then we get that

$$\bar{\nu}(\overline{B_r(x_0)}) \leq S_{s, \tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \left(2\bar{\xi}(\overline{B_R(x_0)}) \right)^{\frac{\tau}{2}}. \quad (5.13)$$

This means that $\bar{\nu}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\bar{\xi}$. Hence the Radon-Nikodym theorem implies that there exists a function $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \bar{\xi})$ such that $d\bar{\nu} = h d\bar{\xi}$. Then we derive from Lebesgue's differential theorem and (5.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_0) &= \lim_{\mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{\nu}(\overline{B_R(x_0)})}{\bar{\xi}(\overline{B_R(x_0)})} \leq S_{s, \tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \lim_{\mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0} \bar{\xi}(\overline{B_r(x_0)})^{\frac{\tau}{2}-1} \\ &= S_{s, \tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \bar{\xi}(\{x_0\})^{\frac{\tau}{2}-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Now we show that $\bar{\nu} = 0$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{x_j : j \in \Lambda\}$. If $h(x) \neq 0$, then by (5.14) we know that $\bar{\xi}(\{x\}) \neq 0$, thus $\bar{\nu}(\{x\}) \neq 0$. Note that (5.3) implies that $\bar{\nu}$ and ν has the same atoms, so that x is an atom of ξ , which is a contradiction. Hence $h \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{x_j : j \in \Lambda\}$. Moreover, by $d\bar{\nu} = h d\bar{\xi}$, we obtain $\bar{\nu} = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{x_j : j \in \Lambda\}$. In conclusion, $\bar{\nu} = 0$, since $\bar{\nu}$ is a non-atomic measure.

Part 4: In the end, we prove

$$\nu(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq S_{s, \tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} 2^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \xi(\mathbb{R}^N)^{\frac{\tau}{2}}, \quad \nu_j \leq S_{s, \tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \xi_j^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \quad \text{for all } j \in \Lambda.$$

Take $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(0))$ satisfying $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_R(0)$ and $|\nabla\eta| \leq 2/R$. Let $\beta > 0$, then we make a similar discussion as in **Part 3** to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_n|^\tau \eta^\tau dx &\leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(u_n\eta)|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - u_n(y)\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\tau/2} \\ &\leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\tau/2} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n \nabla\eta|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\eta(x) - \eta(y)|^2 |u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 + 1/\beta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n \eta|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2 |\eta(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \right]^{\tau/2} \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Using a proof similar to Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2 |\nabla\eta|^2 &= 0, \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(y)|^2 |\eta(x) - \eta(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.15), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^\tau d\nu \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{\tau}{2}}.$$

Letting $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^\tau d\nu \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{\tau}{2}}. \quad (5.16)$$

Combining (5.16) with $\nu(\overline{B_R(x_0)}) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^\tau d\nu$ and let $R \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\nu(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \xi(\mathbb{R}^N)^{\frac{\tau}{2}}.$$

With a similar discussion to (5.8), we can conclude that

$$\nu_j \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \xi_j^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \text{ for each } j \in \Lambda.$$

Indeed, this fact follows by replacing φ_ε in **Part 3** with $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j} = \varphi(\frac{x-x_j}{\varepsilon})$. Thus, the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\{u_n\}_n \subset X^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a bounded sequence such that*

$$\begin{cases} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \rightharpoonup \xi & \text{weakly in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ |u_n|^\tau \rightharpoonup \nu & \text{weakly in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\infty &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N: |x| > R} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx, \\ \nu_\infty &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N: |x| > R} |u_n|^\tau dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then the quantities ξ_∞ and ν_∞ are well defined and satisfy

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx = \xi(\mathbb{R}^N) + \xi_\infty$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^\tau dx = \nu(\mathbb{R}^N) + \nu_\infty.$$

Moreover, the following inequality holds:

$$\nu_\infty \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \xi_\infty^{\frac{\tau}{2}}.$$

Proof. Assume $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi = 0$ in $B_1(0)$, $\chi = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)$, and $|\nabla \chi| \leq 2$. For any $R > 0$, we define $\chi_R(x) = \chi(x/R)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| > 2R} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \chi_R^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| > R\}} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\xi_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \chi_R^2 dx.$$

Similarly,

$$\nu_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^\tau \chi_R^\tau dx.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \chi_R^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) (1 - \chi_R^2) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

By the definition in Theorem 5.2, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) (1 - \chi_R^2) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - \chi_R^2) d\xi,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So we get

$$\xi(\mathbb{R}^N) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) (1 - \chi_R^2) dx.$$

By (5.17), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx \\
 &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \chi_R^2 dx \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) (1 - \chi_R^2) dx \right] \\
 &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) \chi_R^2 dx + \xi(\mathbb{R}^N) \\
 &= \xi_\infty + \xi(\mathbb{R}^N).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^\tau dx = \nu(\mathbb{R}^N) + \nu_\infty.$$

In the end, we prove the last inequality:

$$\nu_\infty \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \xi_\infty^{\frac{\tau}{2}}.$$

By (5.6), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x) \chi_R(x)|^\tau dx \\
 &\leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(u_n \chi_R)|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) \chi_R(x) - u_n(y) \chi_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \\
 &\leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \left[(1 + \beta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n \nabla \chi_R|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\chi_R(x) - \chi_R(y)|^2 |u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (1 + 1/\beta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n \chi_R|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2 |\chi_R(x)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \right]^{\frac{\tau}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we claim that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2 |\nabla \chi_R|^2 dx = 0, \quad (5.18)$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(y)|^2 |\chi_R(x) - \chi_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = 0. \quad (5.19)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2 |\nabla \chi_R|^2 dx \leq \int_{B_{2R}(0) \setminus B_R(0)} \left| \frac{2}{R} \right|^2 |u_n|^2 dx \leq C \left| \frac{2}{R} \right|^2 \|u_n\|^2,$$

so the (5.18) is hold as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $R \rightarrow \infty$ since $X^{1,2}(B_{2R}(0) \setminus B_R(0)) \hookrightarrow L^2(B_{2R}(0) \setminus B_R(0))$ and $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded. The proof of (5.19) we can refer to the proof of [28, Theorem 2.4], so here we omit it. By (5.18) and (5.19), we obtain

$$\nu_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x) \chi_R|^\tau dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \left((1 + 1/\beta) \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n \chi_R|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2 |\chi_R(x)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \right)^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \\ &\leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} (1 + 1/\beta)^{(2-1)\frac{\tau}{2}} \xi_\infty^{\frac{\tau}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\nu_\infty \leq S_{s,\tau}^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \xi_\infty^{\frac{\tau}{2}}$. Therefore, the proof is complete. \square

6 Proof of Theorem 1.5

We need the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *If $\{u_n\}_n$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$, then, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, for every $2_s^* < p < q = 2^*$, we have*

$$c \geq \max \left\{ \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}, \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{\mu}{2^*} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,2^*}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}} \right\} \quad \text{or} \quad c = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}_n$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence. By Lemma 2.3, $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup 0 & \text{in } X_0(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \rightharpoonup \mu & \text{weakly in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ |u_n|^p \rightharpoonup \tilde{\nu} & \text{weakly in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ |u_n|^{2^*} \rightharpoonup \nu & \text{weakly in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

where $\mu, \tilde{\nu}$, and ν are nonnegative bounded measures on \mathbb{R}^N . Then, by the concentration-compactness principle (Theorem 5.1), there exists an at most countable index set Λ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \mu_j \delta_{x_j} + \tilde{\mu}, \\ \nu &= |u|^{2^*} + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \tilde{\nu} = |u|^p + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \tilde{\nu}_j \delta_{x_j}, \\ \nu_j &\leq S_{s,2^*}^{-2^*/2} \mu_j^{2^*/2}, \quad \tilde{\nu}_j \leq S_{s,p}^{-p/2} \mu_j^{p/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where δ_{x_j} is the Dirac measure concentrated at $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$. First, suppose that $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$. For fixed $j \in \Lambda$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon,j} = 1 \text{ for } |x - x_j| \leq \varepsilon; \quad \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} = 0 \text{ for } |x - x_j| \geq 2\varepsilon,$$

and $|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}| \leq 2/\varepsilon$. Clearly, $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j} u_n \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $\langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n), \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \mathcal{A} u_n(x, y) (u_n(x) - u_n(y)) \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(x) dx dy + o(1) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} dx - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \mathcal{A} u_n(\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(x) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(y)) u_n(x) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(x) dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^*} \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(x) dx. \quad (6.3)$$

Using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} dx \leq C \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}|^2 |u_n(x)|^2 = 0, \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \mathcal{A} u_n (\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(x) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(y)) u_n(x) dx dy \\ & \leq C \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(x) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(y)|^2 |u_n(x)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \right)^{1/2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

On the other hand, from (6.2) we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = \mu_j, \quad (6.6)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^*} \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} dx + \nu_j = \nu_j, \quad (6.7)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} dx + \tilde{\nu}_j = \tilde{\nu}_j. \quad (6.8)$$

Then from (6.3)–(6.8) we deduce that

$$\mu \nu_j + \lambda \tilde{\nu}_j = \mu_j. \quad (6.9)$$

Combining this equality with (6.2), we obtain

$$(\lambda + \mu) \max\{\nu_j, \tilde{\nu}_j\} \geq \mu \nu_j + \lambda \tilde{\nu}_j = \mu_j.$$

We now consider two cases.

Case 1. If $\nu_j > \tilde{\nu}_j$, then

$$(\lambda + \mu) \nu_j \geq \mu_j \geq S_{s,2^*} \nu_j^{\frac{2}{2^*}}. \quad (6.10)$$

From (6.10) it follows that

$$\nu_j \geq \left(\frac{S_{s,2^*}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}}. \quad (6.11)$$

Case 2. If $\tilde{\nu}_j > \nu_j$, then

$$(\lambda + \mu) \tilde{\nu}_j \geq \mu_j \geq S_{s,p} \tilde{\nu}_j^{\frac{2}{p}}. \quad (6.12)$$

Inequality (6.12) implies

$$\tilde{\nu}_j \geq \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}. \quad (6.13)$$

On the other hand, by (6.2) we have

$$c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(J_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \tilde{\nu}_j + \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{\mu}{2^*}\right) \nu_j \\
&\geq \max \left\{ \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}, \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{\mu}{2^*}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,2^*}}{\lambda + \mu}\right)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

This completes the proof.

Now consider the case $\Lambda = \emptyset$. For $R > 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_\infty &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| > R\}} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx, \\
\nu_\infty &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| > R\}} |u_n(x)|^{2^*} dx, \quad \tilde{\nu}_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| > R\}} |u_n(x)|^p dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.2, the quantities ξ_∞ , ν_∞ , and $\tilde{\nu}_\infty$ are well defined, and we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx = \xi(\mathbb{R}^N) + \xi_\infty, \tag{6.15}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{2^*} dx = \nu(\mathbb{R}^N) + \nu_\infty, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^p dx = \tilde{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^N) + \tilde{\nu}_\infty. \tag{6.16}$$

Now suppose $\nu_\infty \neq 0$ or $\tilde{\nu}_\infty \neq 0$. Let $\chi_R \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfy $\chi_R \in [0, 1]$, $\chi_R(x) = 0$ for $|x| < R$, $\chi_R(x) = 1$ for $|x| > 2R$, and $|\nabla \chi_R| < 2/R$. By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 5.2, we obtain

$$\xi_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \chi_R(x) dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \chi_R(x) dy dx, \tag{6.17}$$

and

$$\nu_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x) \chi_R(x)|^{2^*} dx, \quad \tilde{\nu}_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x) \chi_R(x)|^p dx. \tag{6.18}$$

Moreover, we have

$$S_{s,2^*} \nu_\infty^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \xi_\infty, \quad S_{s,p} \tilde{\nu}_\infty^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \xi_\infty. \tag{6.19}$$

Since $\|u_n\|^2$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^p dx$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{2^*} dx$ are bounded, we may assume, up to a subsequence, that they converge. Then by (6.15) and (6.16) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\xi + \xi_\infty, \tag{6.20}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\nu}_\infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{2^*} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\nu + \nu_\infty. \tag{6.21}$$

From $\langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n), \chi_R u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \nabla (\chi_R u_n) dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \mathcal{A} u_n(x, y) (\chi_R(x) u_n(x) - \chi_R(y) u_n(y)) dx dy \\
&= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{p-2} u_n(x) u_n(x) \chi_R(x) dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{2^*-2} u_n(x) u_n(x) \chi_R(x) dx + o(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

By (5.18), (5.19), and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \nabla \chi_R u_n dx = 0, \quad (6.23)$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))u_n(y)(\chi_R(x) - \chi_R(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = 0. \quad (6.24)$$

Hence, from (6.17)–(6.24) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \chi_R dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2 \chi_R(x)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| > R\}} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| > R\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy dx \right) \\ &= \xi_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

Therefore, combining (6.22)–(6.25) and (6.18), we conclude that

$$\xi_\infty = \lambda \tilde{\nu}_\infty + \mu \nu_\infty.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(J_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle \right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \tilde{\nu}_\infty + \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{\mu}{q} \right) \nu_\infty \\ &\geq \max \left\{ \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}, \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{\mu}{2^*} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,2^*}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

This completes the proof.

Now suppose $\Lambda = \emptyset$ and $\nu_\infty = 0$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^p dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^{2^*} dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (6.27)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and hence $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We now show that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Since $\langle J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) - J'_{\lambda, \mu}(u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_n, u_n - u \rangle - \langle u, u_n - u \rangle &= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u|^{p-2} u) (u_n - u) dx \\ &\quad + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2^*-2} u_n - |u|^{2^*-2} u) (u_n - u) dx + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (6.28)$$

where

$$\langle u_n, u_n - u \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \mathcal{A} u_n(x, y) ((u_n - u)(x) - (u_n - u)(y)) dx dy.$$

By Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{p-2}u_n - |u|^{p-2}u) (u_n - u) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u|^p dx, \quad (6.29)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2^*-2}u_n - |u|^{2^*-2}u) (u_n - u) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u|^{2^*} dx, \quad (6.30)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\langle u_n, u_n - u \rangle - \langle u, u_n - u \rangle) = 0. \quad (6.31)$$

From (6.29)–(6.31), it follows that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and hence $J_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow J_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0$. This concludes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Firstly, we assume that the sum $\lambda + \mu$ is sufficiently small. We now verify the assumptions of the mountain pass theorem. By Theorem 1.2, there exists $\tilde{v}_1 \in X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|\tilde{v}_1\|^2 = S_{s,p}$ and $\|\tilde{v}_1\|_p = 1$. For any $t \geq 0$, we have

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_1) = \frac{1}{2}t^2\|\tilde{v}_1\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p}t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{2^*}t^{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx.$$

Since $2 < 2_s^* < p < 2^*$, there exists $\tilde{e}_1 := t_1\tilde{v}_1$ such that $\|\tilde{e}_1\| > \rho$ and $J_{\lambda,\mu}(\tilde{e}_1) \leq 0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_1) &= \frac{1}{2}t^2\|\tilde{v}_1\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p}t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{2^*}t^{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2}S_{s,p} - \frac{\lambda}{p}t^p - \frac{\mu}{2^*}t^{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx := g(t). \end{aligned}$$

To proceed, we study the maximum points of the function g . We claim that

$$g(t) < \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}.$$

To prove this claim, first observe that

$$g'(t) = tS_{s,p} - \lambda t^{p-1} - \mu t^{2^*-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx.$$

Define

$$\tilde{g}(t) = S_{s,p} - \lambda t^{p-2} - \mu t^{2^*-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx. \quad (6.32)$$

Since $\tilde{g}(t)$ is monotonic, there exists $\tilde{t}_1 > 0$ such that $\tilde{g}(\tilde{t}_1) = 0$ and $J_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_1) \leq J_{\lambda,\mu}(\tilde{t}_1\tilde{v}_1)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Since

$$\tilde{g}(t) = S_{s,p} - (\lambda + \mu)t^{\theta-2} + (\lambda + \mu)t^{\theta-2} - \lambda t^{p-2} - \mu t^{2^*-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx,$$

where $\theta \in (p, 2^*)$. We get that

$$\tilde{g}\left(\left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-2}}\right) = (\lambda + \mu) \frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} - \lambda \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{\theta-2}} - \mu \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu}\right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{\theta-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx$$

$$= \lambda \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} - \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{\theta-2}} \right) + \mu \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} - \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{\theta-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx \right). \quad (6.33)$$

Therefore, for any given $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\mu^{**} > 0$ such that for all $\mu \in (0, \mu^{**})$, $\tilde{g} \left(\left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-2}} \right) > 0$ and $\left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-2}} \leq \tilde{t}_1$. Hence, by the mean value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &\leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} - \frac{\mu}{2^*} \tilde{t}_1^{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left[\left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} + \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \frac{p S_{s,p} \mu}{(p-2)\lambda(\lambda + \mu)} \right] - \frac{\mu}{2^*} \tilde{t}_1^{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} + \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} - \frac{\mu}{2^*} \tilde{t}_1^{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

Note that $\left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-2}} \leq \tilde{t}_1$ for all $\mu \in (0, \mu^{**})$. If we can verify

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} - \frac{\mu}{2^*} \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{2^*}{\theta-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx \leq 0,$$

then the proof is complete. In fact, we can choose θ such that $\frac{p}{p-2} < \frac{2^*}{\theta-2}$. It is easy to verify that there exists $\mu^{**} > 0$ such that for all $\mu \in (0, \mu^{**})$,

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} - \frac{\mu}{2^*} \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{2^*}{\theta-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_1|^{2^*} dx \leq 0,$$

Hence, $g(t) < \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$.

Define

$$\tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\lambda,\mu}(\gamma(t)),$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X_0(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = \tilde{e}_1\}$.

By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\vartheta \leq \tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu} < \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}, \quad \tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu} < \max_{t \geq 0} g(t) < \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$$

. Therefore, we obtain a $(PS)_c$ sequence $\{\tilde{u}_n\}_n$ satisfying $\tilde{u}_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ with $\tilde{u} \neq 0$, and the level c satisfies $c < \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \right) \left(\frac{S_{s,p}}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$. One can verify that $J'_{\lambda,\mu}(\tilde{u}) = 0$ and $J_{\lambda,\mu}(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{c}_{\lambda,\mu}$. Thus, \tilde{u} is a nontrivial solution to (1.1). \square

References

- [1] T. Aubin, Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev, *J. Differential Geom.*, **11** (1976), no. 4, 573-598.

- [2] M. Belloni, B. Kawohl, A direct uniqueness proof for equations involving the p -Laplace operator, *Manuscripta Math.*, **109** (2002), no. 2, 229-231.
- [3] S. Biagi, S. Dipierro, E. Valdinoci, E. Vecchi, A Brezis-Nirenberg type result for mixed local and nonlocal operators. arxiv preprint arxiv: 2209.07502, 2022.
- [4] S. Biagi, S. Dipierro, E. Valdinoci, E. Vecchi, Mixed local and nonlocal elliptic operators: regularity and maximum principles, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **47** (2022), no. 3, 585-629.
- [5] S. Biagi, S. Dipierro, E. Valdinoci, E. Vecchi, A Faber-Krahn inequality for mixed local and nonlocal operators, *J. Anal. Math.*, **150** (2023), no. 2 405-448.
- [6] L. Brasco, E. Lindgren, E. Parini, The fractional Cheeger problem, *Interfaces Free Bound.*, **16** (2014),no. 3, 419-458.
- [7] L. Brasco, E. Lindgren, E. Parini, The fractional Cheeger problem, *Interfaces Free Bound.*, **16**(2014), no. 3, 419-458.
- [8] L. Brasco, E. Parini, The second eigenvalue of the fractional p -Laplacian, *Adv. Calc. Var.*, **9** (2016), no. 4, 323-355.
- [9] S. Buccheri, J. V. da Silva, L. H. de Miranda, A system of local/nonlocal p -Laplacians: the eigenvalue problem and its asymptotic limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$. *Asymptot. Anal.*, **128** (2022), no. 2, 149-181.
- [10] F. J S. A. Corrêa, G. M. Figueiredo, On an elliptic equation of p -Kirchhoff type via variational methods, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **74** (2006), no. 2, 263-277.
- [11] C. Cowan, M. E. Smaily, P. A. Feulefack, The principal eigenvalue of a mixed local and nonlocal operator with drift. arXiv:2406.19577, 2024. 2.
- [12] L. M. Del Pezzo, R. Ferreira and J. D. Rossi, Eigenvalues for a combination between local and nonlocal p -Laplacians, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **22** (2019), no. 5, 1414-1436.
- [13] G. Franzina, P. D. Lamberti, Existence and uniqueness for a p -Laplacian nonlinear eigenvalue problem, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **10** 2010, No. 26, 10.
- [14] G. Franzina, G. Palatucci, Fractional p -eigenvalues, *Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.)*, **5** (2014), no. 2, 373-386.
- [15] P. Garain, A. Ukhlov, Mixed local and nonlocal Sobolev inequalities with extremal and associated quasilinear singular elliptic problems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **223** (2022), No. 113022, 35 pp.
- [16] P. Garain, A. Ukhlov, Mixed local and nonlocal Dirichlet (p, q) -eigenvalue problem, *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)*, **124**(2023), no. 6, 782-792.
- [17] E. H. Lieb, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **118** (1983), no. 2, 349-374.
- [18] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case I, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam*, **1** (1985), no. 145-201; erratum in Part II, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam*, **1** (1985), no. 45-121.

- [19] E. Lindgren, P. Lindqvist, Fractional eigenvalues, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **49**(2014), no. 1-2, 795-826.
- [20] P. Lindqvist, On the equation $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$ *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **109** (1990), no. 1, 157-164.
- [21] E. D. Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **136** (2012), no. 5, 521-573.
- [22] A. D. Nguyen, J. I. Díaz, Q. H. Nguyen, Fractional Sobolev inequalities revisited: the maximal function approach, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.*, **31** 2020, no. 1, 225-236.
- [23] M. Ôtani, Existence and nonexistence of nontrivial solutions of some nonlinear degenerate elliptic equations, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **76** (1988), no. 1, 140-159.
- [24] G. Pólya, G. Szegő, Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics, *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, No. 27.
- [25] P. H. Rabinowitz, Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations. American Mathematical Soc, **6427** (1986).
- [26] C. A. Swanson, The best Sobolev constant, *Appl. Anal.*, **47** (1992), no. 4, 227-239.
- [27] G. Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **110** (1976), no. 4, 353-372.
- [28] M. Q. Xiang, B. L. Zhang, X. Zhang, A nonhomogeneous fractional p-Kirchhoff type problem involving critical exponent in R^N , *Adv. Nonlinear Stud*, **17** (2017), no. 3, 611-640.
- [29] M. Q. Xiang, B. L. Zhang, V. D. Rădulescu, Superlinear Schrödinger-Kirchhof type problems involving the fractional p-Laplacian and critical exponent, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal*, **4** (2020), no. 9, 690-709.