



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_11896
Title of the Manuscript:	Buganda's indigenous wisdom of all time: (Totemism, Taboos and Spirituality) and nature conservation
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.This manuscript is important in creating a forum for integrating indigenous knowledge with contemporary ideas for conserving cultural and environmental ideas in life circles. 2.“The Indigenous Knowledge and Natural Conservation Aspects of Life of the Baganda People People of Uganda” 3.The abstract needs to be recast so that it open with a topical sentence. Buganda should be included in the key terms. Restructure some sentences in a more coherent manner 4.The subsections would have been clearer if there were two to three objectives of the study otherwise it is fairly done. 5.It is scientifically correct but it should point out its relevance to the contemporary world. 6.The references are fairly adequate. Still, the author shoub point out what the predecessors in this area of study have observed in their respective studies. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is suitable. Still some sentences require reconstruction with an effort to use more active than passive ones.</p>	
<p><u>Optional</u> comments</p>	<p>The author should carry out a reasonable prove-reading.</p>	



J. K. Press

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Muhoro Mwangi
Department, University & Country	Mount Kenya University, Kenya.