



Journal Name:	Journal of Disease and Global Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JODAGH_12640
Title of the Manuscript:	Current updates on human hemorrhoid disease and its treatment options
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a comprehensive and well-researched overview of hemorrhoid management, covering important aspects such as epidemiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment options. The inclusion of both non-surgical and surgical interventions, along with detailed discussions on postoperative care and potential complications, makes this manuscript a valuable resource for healthcare professionals working in the field of colorectal surgery.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article reflects the content of the manuscript and is suitable.	



J. K. Press

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive, as it covers the key aspects of the manuscript. Authors should consider adding a brief sentence or two about the current treatment options for hemorrhoid disease, as this is mentioned in the title of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript excerpt appear to be well-organized and appropriate for conveying the key information related to hemorrhoid disease.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The scientific correctness and technical soundness of the manuscript are evident in the authors' use of empirical data, citations of relevant literature, and the comprehensive and structured approach to addressing the key aspects of hemorrhoid disease.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>Yes, the references appear to be sufficient and recent based on the information provided in the excerpt.</p>	



<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the manuscript excerpt are suitable for scholarly communications, as they meet the standards expected in peer-reviewed scientific publications.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors should consider adding a brief sentence or two about the current treatment options for hemorrhoid disease in the abstract part as it is mentioned in the title of the manuscript. 2. In the classification and clinical presentation part of the study, the "PNR-Bleed" classification system could be described in more detail. 3. For the laser treatment section, it may be worth clarifying the distinction between low-power IR coagulation and high-power CO2 laser hemorrhoidectomy and adding additional explanation about them, as the current description lumps them together. 4. Provide any available data or statistics on the success rates, recurrence rates, or patient satisfaction for the different surgical interventions, if such information is available. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	



J. K. Press

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sahand Eslami
Department, University & Country	Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Iran