

Journal Name:	Journal of Biochemistry International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOB1_12647
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Organic Package on Growth, Yield and Economics in Groundnut
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is important enough as this is a burning topic at recent time. From the view of environmental degradation the importance of organic farming as an alternative option is gaining popularity so it is justifiable to compare organic farming with chemical farming.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is not suitable according to me as there is the term 'growth' in title but in that manuscript the discussion is all about yield parameters and economics.	

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>In the abstract portion the author used both the terms 'farmers practice' and 'chemical farming', I think either of these two terms should be used.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Yes, its correct but I think the scientific reasons behind the improvement of yield attributes should be mentioned in the result and discussion portion.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>That is okay but little correction is needed as there is some mistakes in spacing.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some grammatical errors are there I have marked red colour in those sentences.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>I have one doubt in the fertilizer management portion of chemical treatment only urea was given! Why no other phosphorus, potassium or any other chemical fertilizer were not given? As we all know sulphur fertilizer is important for any oil seed crop, I think there is a need to rethink in that portion.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avimanyu Palit
Department, University & Country	Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India