

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Food Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAFSAT_12503
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical and sensory characteristics of rebon (acetes sp.) Shrimp paste sauce
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript may be of importance to the scientific community provided, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The study organism Acetes sp. was not mentioned as shrimp paste (such reference is not available in the literature). 2. The manuscript does not confuse the reader with "shrimp paste" and incapacitates the intelligence to assume the reference for the organism or the product. 3. The manuscript explains the materials methods, and results in an organized manner. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok	

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>No.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The abstract requires complete refurbation / reorganization. • The abbreviations have to be expanded. • The corrections have already been mentioned in the abstract. • The file with highlighted parts requires restructuring. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The titles of subsections should be verified for spelling mistakes.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript requires thorough verification regarding the English language. It is not technically sound as the author tries to compare the control as one of the lowest readings where the shrimp paste was not added (0%). Technically the author can express the results in a more organized, well-represented manner.</p> <p>The tables are too many and why there was no diagrammatic representation of the results</p> <p>.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>⋮</p>	<p>46.9% are recent.</p> <p>However, the literature survey has not been reflected in the development of the manuscript, expression of results, or organization of the information.</p>	

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, The manuscript seems to be 1st or 2nd draft and requires thorough language verification.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript requires good help to reflect.</p> <p>a. Research representation: remove repeated statements, provide sampling procedure of the paste shrimps, purification of the paste shrimps State the reason for the discrepancy while considering concentrations to prepare the shrimp paste sauce during the study</p> <p>b. result analysis: State the reason to consider (0%) the control for comparisons.</p> <p>c. language expression: requires improvement</p> <p>d. journal requirements: verify the references</p> <p>-</p> <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayaprada Rao Chunduri
Department, University & Country	India