

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_12610
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY AND ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF CLOVE (<i>Syzygium aromatum</i>) AND THYME (<i>Thymus vulgaris</i>) TRADITIONALLY USED AS FOOD PACKAGING AGAINST FOODBORNE PATHOGENS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Studying the effects of essential oils and plant extracts on fungi and bacteria and their potential use in food packaging is very good and of great importance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is misleading as it does not specify whether the experiment utilized essential oil or extract. It requires clarification. The title should be changed to ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY AND ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF CLOVE (<i>Syzygium aromatum</i>) AND THYME (<i>Thymus vulgaris</i>) extracts AGAINST FOODBORNE PATHOGENS	

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract indicates that the essential oils derived from two plants were utilized in the experiments; however, the Materials and Methods section describes the extraction process. It is important to note that extracts and essential oils are distinct substances. This raises the question of why essential oils are referenced in this study when the extracts from the two plants were employed to inhibit fungal and bacterial growth. The abstract should be modified based on the extraction of two plants.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>This manuscript has performed very moderate statistical analyses.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are somewhat sufficient and recent, and the discussion section is very sparse and brief. A separate discussion should be written and references should be provided for each experiment.</p>	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>This manuscript has many flaws. The most important of which is that essential oil and extraction are considered the same subject. In the introduction and abstract, it is said that essential oil extraction was done, while in the materials and methods, extraction was done.</p> <p>The results section is missing at all. The results should be fully explained in the results section along with figures and tables.</p> <p>The discussion section is very short and should fully discuss the experiments performed. . The materials and methods section does not have references to the methods used. A number of sections do not need to be written, such as Sterilization of materials.</p> <p>The text is not organized. It has a number of grammatical errors.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hajar Soleimani
Department, University & Country	Shiraz University, Iran