

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_12607
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CONSERVATION OF MEDICINAL PLANTS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is very important concept in the field of ethnobotanical knowledge and it will serve in the pure medicinal species identification in different regions of the world. It will also help the scientific community in the classification of the medicinal species throughout the world and specifically for the Asian research council.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the topic is suitable for the research but it would be more rich the world Ethno-botanical is used and area is more specified.	

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes it is alright but the field inventory method must be highlighted in the abstract and will enhance the concept of the research idea more specifically and will give more weightage to the research score and also highlight the species as well.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Yes it is scientifically correct upto some extent but it must be synchronised in proper scientific pattern to ensure more clarity.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes references are sufficient and latest almost. We can rely on the references for the research.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes it is suitable.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The research is good but needs proper scientific pattern and needs to be have proper chapters which is easy for the reviewer to review it and understand it. Otherwise the research data is sufficient and this will get good score if the terms in the review are followed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohsin Salahuddin
Department, University & Country	Pakistan