

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12661
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental Study for Daylighting through Light Pipes of Office Building in Dhaka, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention		

them in the review form.		
---------------------------------	--	--

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript presents an insightful experimental study on how light pipes can improve daylight utilization in office buildings in Dhaka, Bangladesh. The research aims to enhance both energy efficiency and the overall quality of the workspace. To make the manuscript even stronger, some minor revisions should be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some sentences could be made clearer to avoid any confusion. For example, the sentence "<i>Light pipes, innovative devices capable of transporting and distributing daylight without heat transfer</i>" could be simplified for better understanding. - adding more technical information about the simulation process could improve the depth of the research. For instance, it would be helpful to provide some details on the EnergyPlus settings used in the simulations. - The manuscript would benefit from better integration between the field survey findings and the experimental results. -The health impact section could be made more impactful by strengthening the connection between the symptoms employees reported and the lighting conditions they experienced. -References should be updated. The manuscript should use further updated references particularly from the journal. Some useful references are: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2023.103365 , https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-18714-7 , https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2019.122755 , 	

	<p>DOI 10.1088/1402-4896/ac576f</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conclusion should present the main achievements of the study. It is recommended to use bullet point. -There are some English language errors that should be addressed 	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farshad Shakeriaski
Department, University & Country	School of Engineering and Information Technology, University of New South Wales Canberra, Australia