

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12661
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental Study for Daylighting through Light Pipes of Office Building in Dhaka, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the utilization of light pipes for enhancing daylight penetration in office buildings. The study addresses a critical issue of energy consumption and occupant comfort in Dhaka, Bangladesh. The findings contribute significantly to sustainable building design, offering practical guidelines for architects and urban planners.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Current Title: <i>Experimental Study for Daylighting through Light Pipes of Office Building in Dhaka, Bangladesh.</i> Suggested Revision: <i>Optimizing Daylight Integration through Light Pipes in Dhaka's Office Buildings: A Simulation and Field Study Approach.</i>	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add key numerical results (e.g., energy savings or improved daylight metrics) to strengthen the impact.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The methodology is solid. However, more quantification in results (e.g., percentage improvements in daylight penetration) would improve clarity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Adequate, but consider adding recent works on light pipes in tropical regions	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Scholarly but needs minor edits for conciseness.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Incorporate graphical comparisons (e.g., pre- and post-light pipe use) to enhance clarity. Expand on implementation challenges, such as costs.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arkar Htet
Department, University & Country	Mullion Façade Engineering School, Myanmar