

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12519
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Construction Challenges during the House Construction Stage
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper did not exhaustively address all the issues involved in the topic hence creating room for further studies here is inappropriate. The write up is quite scanty and lacking in depth as literature review was conspicuously absent. The study needs a more robust inferential statistic to provide adequate empirical basis for for both discussions and drawing up appropriate conclusions for the paper.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Adjusted Topic: Assessment of Construction Challenges during the Post-Contract Stage of a Residential Building Construction Project	

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Talking about ground work for further research in the abstract was inappropriate even as the abstract did not capture both the conclusion and recommendations of the study.</p> <p>The keywords are better capitalized and should be better separated with semi colons instead of comas.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript is not quite scientifically correct as the Weighted Mean Score (WMS) used in the methodology did not provide adequate empirical basis for a sound scientific study.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are quite few and a good number of them were apparently outdated. The literature base of the paper should be beefed up with more robust references.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language quality is adequate</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The paper is generally shallow in content and requires a more robust literature review. The last segment of the paper is better captioned: Conclusion and Recommendations and the last paragraph in the conclusion should be enumerated and used as recommendations.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reuben A Okereke
Department, University & Country	Imo State University, Nigeria