



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Current Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12001
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Performance evaluation of on-farm raw milk cooling system</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references,</p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript appears to be an important contribution to the scientific community, especially in the dairy science and engineering fields. This manuscript proposes a solution for improving milk quality, reducing energy consumption, and lowering operational costs in dairy processing, making it a valuable contribution to the scientific community.</p> <p>The title accurately reflects the main content and purpose of the study. However, it could be made more informative and specific. Here is suggestion of the title,</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and gives a good overview of the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and conclusions.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be well organized and appropriately structured within the context of the IMRaD format.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct and rigorous overall.</p> <p>The references provided in the manuscript seem mostly sufficient and relevant to support the research.</p>	



# J. K. Press

<p>please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>		
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional</b> comments</p>	<p>Some sentences are quite long and could be made clearer by breaking them down into shorter sections. A few instances use the passive voice, which can come across as indirect. Consider revising those to use the active voice for a more straightforward tone.</p>	

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	MOHD FAUZI BIN MOHD YUNUS
Department, University & Country	POLYTECHNIC OF SULTAN MIZAN ZAINAL ABIDIN, MALAYSIA