

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAAS_12662 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of Advanced Faba Bean (<i>Vicia faba</i> L.) Genotypes for Yield and Yield Related Traits under High Potential Environments. |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>) |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The research presented in the article is a very important initial database for the impact of climate change on agriculture. Using new bean varieties and testing them for the impact of different growing conditions and the impact of climate change is extremely important for obtaining new varieties and hybrids tolerant or resistant to extreme growing conditions. More precisely, cultivation in the absence of sufficient moisture and high temperatures, which is the basis for producing sufficient food for a growing human population. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title of the paper is adequate for the topic that the article deals with. | |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <p>The abstract is concise and clearly written. In the abstract, the complete result of the research is briefly presented.</p> | |
| <p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p> | <p>After a detailed reading of the work in question, I can say with pleasure that the work is meaningful, very interesting, and follows modern world trends in the field.</p> | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> | <p>The mentioned references are recent, they were chosen to confirm the results presented in the paper.</p> | |
| <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>As for the quality of the English language, I do not consider myself competent to judge it.</p> | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | <p>For these reasons, I believe that the work should be accepted without any changes.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <p>Reviewer's comment</p> | <p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p> |
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Sanja Zivkovic |
| Department, University & Country | University of Nis, Serbia |