Estimation of Variability Components among Garlic (*Allium Sativum* L.) Germplasm at Kulumsa South Eastern part of Ethiopia

Abstract:-Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) is the most widely cultivated bulb crop after onion had major place in spices and medicinal use and grown in diverse areas, grown in sunny and dry areas in a moderately humid and dry environment. In Ethiopia, garlic is the most extensively farmed crop, and it is an essential cash crop for small land holder farmers since its unit price is substantially greater than most other vegetables produced.However, ~~biotic and abiotic~~environmental factors affect the genetic potential and the quality of garlic crops. Thus, the aim ~~of this~~ current study was to estimate components of variation among one hundred twenty (120) garlic genotypes/accessions ~~materials~~. The experiment was executed by alpha lattice design with two replications at Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center is found in Arsi, Zone Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia, is located 175km South East of Addis Ababa on the road from Adama to Asella.~~Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center in Horticultural Research~~ Site. The result of study revealed that there ~~were~~was a significant genetic variation among garlic materials.During 2020 cropping season the highest genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficients of variation 37.48% and 47.70% were observed respectively for weight of cloves and total yield tha^{-1}and moderately high heritability along with high genetic advance as percent of the mean were exhibited by shaft/pseodostem length. During 2021 cropping season the highest genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficients of variation 37.48% and 47.70% were observed respectively for weight clove and total yield ~~(~~tha^{-1}~~)~~ and moderately high heritability along with high genetic advance as percent of the mean were exhibited by shaft length.Generally, the present study indicated that the presence of the genetic variability between germplasms to exploit the genetic improvement of the garlic crop through hybridization and simple selection methods.

Keywords: - Genetic variation, Genetic advance, Heritability, phenotypic variation.

INTRODUCTION

Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) is the most widely cultivated bulb crop after onion had major place in spices and medicinal use which belongs to the family Amaryllidaceae (*Alliaceae*). Kazakhstan (Central Asia) is assumed as primary center of origin and Mediterranean and Caucasus zones

Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines
Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Comment [PB1]:
Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Comment [PB2]:
Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Comment [PB3]: Both year genotypic coefficient and phenotypic coefficient exact same. how the possible?
Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Font: Not Italic

are considered as secondary center of origin (Govind *et al*.,2015).The important countries that produce garlic are Spain, Egypt, France, Mexico, Brazil, India, China, Pakistan, Turkey and Sri Lanka.

The word garlic comes from old English vernacular name garleac, which means spear leek. The gar means spear referring to spear shaped leaves and leac means leek (Parle *et al.*, 2007).

Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) botanically belongs to the Liliaceae family and Allium genus (Amarakoon & Jayasekara, 2017). Bulb of the garlic contains several cloves, which are used for vegetative reproduction (Liu *et al*., 2020). Garlic can be used as an antioxidant, antimicrobial, reduction of cardiovascular diseases, anti-cancer and ant hypersensitive agent (Kiran et al., 2019; Efiong *et al*., 2020). Garlic is grown in diverse areas, grown in sunny and dry areas in a moderately humid and dry environment (Sayadi *et al*., 2020; Kumar et al., 2023).

Ethiopia's garlic production and productivity in 2020 and 2021 were 18,344.46 and 15,979.54 hectares respectively, with 1,525,946.34 and 1,149,446.97 quintals harvested. In Ethiopia, garlic breeding improvement is weak due to the nature of the crop propagation method. Garlic can only be propagated via clonal methods since it is difficult to create new genetic variations.Ethiopia's garlic breeding program aims to release high-yielding varieties through clonal selection by utilizing collected garlic germplasm based on yield-wise characterizations to address production issues (Jayhoon et al., 2023). The Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research introduced new cultivars like Holeta, Chefe, Kuriftu, Bishoftu Netch, and Tseday for Ethiopian growing environments, but their performance is lower than local planting material. Further information is mandatory for garlic yield enhancement on genetic variability among garlic genotypes/accessions for varietal development.

Study of genetic variability paves the way for any crop improvement programme (Lin *et al*., 2007).aAnd also the detailed information related to genetic variability, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation and heritability have better importance in the crop improvement programme of garlic (Belaj *et al*., 2002). The existing variability study can be a useful tool for increasing yield of the existing cultivar (Ogunniyan and Olakojo, 2015).

The present investigation was carried out to estimate the extent variability (genotypic and phenotypic variation, heritability, and genetic advance along with the genetic gain) of growth and yield and traits among the one hundred twenty germplasm of garlic at Kulumsato enhance its production and productivity.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

2.1. Description of the Study Area

The experiment was conducted at Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center. Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center is found in Arsi, Zone Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia, is located 175km South East of Addis Ababa on the road from Adama to Asella. The geographical location of Kulumsa is 80 01' 10''N latitude and 390 09'13''E longitude and at an altitude of 2200 meter above sea level (m.a.s.l). The agro-ecology of the area is characterized by an average annual rain-fall of 850 mm, with short rain between March and April and long rain between June and September, and with annual mean minimum and maximum temperatures of 23.1^{o} C and 7.9 ^{o} C respectively. The soil types of the area isare clay and silt loam with pH of 5.6 (Abayneh *et al*., 2003).

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

2.2. Planting Materials

One hundred twenty-eight garlic accessions (one hundred accessions were collected from the Bale, West Arsi and Arsi areas and 4 released varieties from the Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center).

2.3. Experimental Design and Field Management.

The garlic accessions/germplasm and released varieties were planted in an Alpha Lattice Design with two replicates in the 2020 and 2021 croppingg/ Meher season at Kulumsa highlands of Southern Eastern part of Ethiopia. The spacing between double rows, rows and plants was 60 cm, 40 cm and 20 cm. respectively. All agricultural management practices waswere carried out at the same time to all treatments such as weeding, watering, and hoeing. Fertilizers were also applied at the rate of 243 kg/ha NPS during planting and 130 kg/ha urea in split application once during planting and the second application was done after 45 days

2.4. Data Collection.

Morphological traits were measured at deferent growth stages according to descriptors for garlic developed by the International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI, 2001). The following data were recorded based on plant and plot basis: basis: -Vigor, plant height(cm), neck thickness(cm), shaft length/pseodostem(cm), leaf width(cm), leaf length(cm), cloves number per bulb(no.), weight of cloves(g), clove height(cm), clove diameter(cm) and total yield(tha^{-1}). The bulb yield was recorded from the middle 4 rows of each plot and converted to tons per hectare.

2.5. Data Analysis

The variability function of the package in R software (Anonymous, 2020) was utilized to apply the data collected for each trait for variability estimation, using the methodology outlined in Gomez and Gomez (1984). The assumptions of ANOVA for each data point were made before the analysis, and pooled data analysis over years was carried out for all traits.

2.6. Estimation of Genetic Parameters

Variance components

Phenotypic and genotypic variances were estimated according to the method suggested by Singh and Chaudhary (1985) as follows:

Environmental variance (σ^2e), σ^2e=MSe (error mean square)

Genotypic Variance (σ^2g) $\sigma^2g=\frac{MSg-MSe}{r}$

Where, r=number of replicationreplications, MSg=mean square due to genotypes,

MSe=mean square of error (Environmental variance) and l=location.

Heritability in broad sense

Broad sense heritability(H^2) values for all parameters were estimated based on the formula given by Falconer and Mackay (1996) as follows:

$$H2 = \frac{\sigma^2 g}{\sigma^2 p} * 100$$

Where, H^2=heritability in the broad sense, σ^2g=genotypic variance and σ^2p =phenotypic variance. Estimated heritability values was classified according to Singh (2001) that heritability values greater than 80% were very high, values from 60–79% were moderately high, values from 40–59% were medium and values less than 40% were low.

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Genetic advance

The genetic advance expected under selection assuming selection intensity of the superior 5% of the plants was estimated in accordance with the methods illustrated by Allard (1999):

$$GA = K * (\sqrt{\sigma^2_p}) * H^2$$

Where, GA = expected genetic advance, K = the standardized selection differential at 5% selection intensity (K = 2.063), σ^2 p = is phenotypic standard deviation on mean basis and H^2=heritability in the broad sense.

Genetic advance as percent of means (GAM)

Genetic advance as percentage over mean was worked as suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955).

$$GAM = \frac{GA}{\bar{x}} * 100$$

Where, GA = Genetic advance, $\bar{x}$= Grand mean

Genetic advance as percent of mean was categorized as per the classified suggested by Johanson *et al*. (1955). 0-10% = Low, 10-20% = Moderate, >20% = High

E. Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation

Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were estimated according to Burton and De Vane (1953) based on the estimate of genotypic and phenotypic variance.

Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV %) $=\frac{\sqrt{\sigma 2_g}}{\bar{x}} *100$

Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV %) $=\frac{\sqrt{\sigma 2_p}}{\bar{x}} *100$

Environmental coefficient of variation (ECV %) $=\frac{\sqrt{\sigma 2_e}}{\bar{x}} *100$

Where, V_g = Genotypic variance, V_p = Phenotypic variance, Ve = Environmental variance, $\bar{x}$ = Grand mean of the character. PCV and GCV were categorized as following: 0 – 10 %: Low, 10 - 20%: Moderate, 20% and above High (Sivasubramanian and Menon, 1973).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Analysis of variance

The analysis of variance for all studied characters, except number ~~leafs~~leaf's per plant which is non-significant (*p>0.01*), showed highly significant (p<0.01) differences among the garlic genotypes/accessions. This indicates to the existence of large variability among genotypes/accessions.Dixit *et.al.* (2021) reported that the mean sum of squares due to genotypes were highly significant for all characters under study which indicated that the genotypes included in the study were a genetically diverse and a considerable amount of variability was present in the experimental ~~material.The~~material. The present study was in line with Yebirzaf and Belete (2017); Azene(2021) and Gizachew (2021)

3.2 Estimation of Genetic Parameters

The present study indicated that, during 2020 cropping season the highest genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) 37.48% and 47.70% were observed respectively for weight of cloves(g) and total yield tha^{-1}. The magnitudes of phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation were lowest for leaf length 6.99% and plant height 6.02% respectively. The PCV value of total yield tha^{-1} was higher than GCV value during 2020 cropping season. The present result revealed that, higher GCV were recorded for total yield t/ha (36.02), clove weight (37.48%), number of cloves per bulb (no.) (20.14%). Moderate GCV value were recorded for vigor of plant (14.97%), neck thickness(12.76%~~), shaft~~), shaft length (12.85%), leaf width (11.77%) ~~and clove~~and clove weight (10.26%). ~~However~~However, plant height (6.02%) and clove diameter (8.63%) had the lowest values. High PCV values were observed in total yield (47.70%), clove weight (40.65%), clove diameter (29.97%), neck thickness (23.99%), number of clove per bulb (21.81%) and vigor (20.87%). ~~However~~However, shaft length/~~pseode~~pseudo-stem length (11.94%), leaf width (11.77%) and clove height (16.02%) had moderate values, whereas plant height (7.29%) and leaf length (6.99%) had low values(Table 1).

During 2021 cropping season the highest genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) 37.48% and 47.70% were observed respectively for weight clove and total yield(t/ha). The magnitudes of phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation were lowest for leaf length 4.10 % during 2021 cropping season. The present result revealed that, during 2021, higher GCV were recorded for total yield t/ha (27.84), clove weight (29.10%) and neck thickness (23.99%). Moderate GCV value were recorded for number of ~~clove~~cloves per bulb (15.94%) and cove height (10.26%). ~~However~~However,plant height

Comment [PB4]: Check data

Comment [PB5]:

Comment [PB6]: Check data both year of GCV and PCV same .... What is possible because no environment effect of accession and other soil related factors constant.

(4.15%), leaf length (4.10%), and leaf width (7.05), vigor (7.45%) and clove diameter (8.63%) had the lowest values(Table 2).

Comment [PB7]:

During 2021 higher PCV were recorded for total yield t/ha (41.73%), clove weight (38.78%), cloves diameter (28.97%) and number of ~~clove~~cloves per bulb (22.73%).Moderate PCV value were recorded shaft length (14.18%), leaf width (15.57%), neck thickness (12.75) and cove height (16.02%). ~~However~~However,plant height (7.36%) and leaf length (4.10%) had the lowest values (Table 2). Azene (2021) reported that, the highest genotypic variance and phenotypic variance were for days to maturity, bulb weight, and bulb diameter (equatorial).Dixit *et.al.* (2021) reported that the phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were higher for average weight of cloves bulb-1 (30.47 and 28.59, respectively), average weight of bulb (30.00 and 28.47) and plant height (20.49 and 20.07).Azene (2021) indicated that, all traits examined had a higher PCV than the GCV, suggesting an important role of the environment in the expression of traits (Table 3). Among all traits examined, high-to-moderate PCV and GCV were observed for yield per plant ~~(~~(53.38%) and (46.8%)~~)~~, bulb diameter (equatorial) ~~(~~(46, 0%) and (45.7%)~~)~~, number of leaves ~~(~~(44.21%) and (42.8%)~~)~~, and bulb weight (42.75%) and (42.6%)~~)~~, respectively. The extent of phenotypic variation was highest because it is a product of environmental and genetic variance. The difference between PCV and GCV values were high for all traits indicating the presence of high environment was high effects on these traits. The high-to-moderate values of PCV and GCV observed for the above traits in the present study indicated the existence of variability for the traits examined; and the high PCV and GCV traits mentioned above should allow reasonable scope for improvement by selection in the respective environments due to the modest genetic variability available in the germplasm collections evaluated (Gizachew, 2021; Getaneh, 2022;Pasupulaet al., 2024).

Formatted: English (United States)

3.3 Estimation of Heritability and Genetic Advance as percent of mean

Heritability in a broad sense is important to the breeder, since it indicates the possibility and extent to which improvement is possible through selection. In the present investigation during 2020 cropping season, estimates of broad sense heritability (H^2) ranged from 0.08% to 94% for clove diameter and leaf width respectively. High heritability recorded for leaf width (0.94%), leaf length (0.80%), number of ~~clove~~cloves per bulb (0.85%) and clove weight (0.85%), whereas plant height (0.68 %) and shaft length(0.74%)were moderately high; it was only vigor(0.51%), clove height (0.41%) and total yield(0.57%) had medium heritability.From the result of 2020 cropping season genetic advance as percent of mean (GAM) ranged from 5.12 to71.18 for clove diameter and weight cove respectively. High genetic advance as percent of mean (GAM) recorded for vigor (22.12), shaft length (22.77), leaf width(22.92), number of clove per bulb (38.3), weight of ~~clove(~~clove (71.18) and total yield (56.03), whereas plant height (10.23%), neck thickness (13.97), leaf length(11.56) and clove height (13.55) were moderately high; it was only clove diameter (5.12) had medium heritability.During 2020 cropping season, moderately high heritability along with high genetic advance as percent of the mean were exhibited by shaft/~~pseodo~~pseudo-stem length (Table 1) more or less similar results Khadi,et al., 2022; Bal, et al., 2022.

Formatted: English (United States)

In the present investigation during 2021 cropping season, estimates of broad sense heritability (H^2) ranged from 0.08% to 0.56% for clove diameter and clove height respectively.Medium heritability recorded for number of ~~clove~~cloves per bulb (0.0.47%), clove weight (0.56%), total yield (0.44%) and clove height (0.41%), whereas vigor (0.14%),plant height (0.32%), neck thickness (0.28%), shaft length (0.20%), leaf width (0.20%), leaf length (0.27%) and clove diameter (0.08%) were low. From the result of 2021 cropping season genetic advance as percent of mean (GAM) ranged from 4.34 to 44.90 for leaf length and weight cove respectively. High genetic advance as percent of mean (GAM) recorded for total yield (38.27), weight of clove (44.90) and number of clove per bulb (21.89), whereas plant height (4.82%), shaft length (5.91), and leaf length (4.34), clove diameter(5.12 and leaf width (6.58) were low high (Table 2).

During 2021 cropping season, moderately high heritability along with high genetic advance as percent of the mean were exhibited by shaft ~~length.The~~length. Thetraits having very high heritability indicated the relatively by small contribution of the environmental factors to the phenotype and then substantial improvement can be made using standard selection procedures. Johnson *et al*. (1955) reported that heritability estimates along with genetic advance would be more rewarding than heritability alone in predicting the consequential effect of selection to choose the best individual.Mashhid (2015) reported that in traits with high heritability, genotypic variance is more important than environmental variance and these characters could be considered and exploited for selection in earlier generations (Bagchi et al., 2020). Whereas, in the traits with low heritability, influence of environmental factors is strong for their expression and genotype selection based on these characters should be continued to the next generations.

Comment [PB8]: Discussion pat of this manuscript is little week improved with suitable reference.

Table 1:- Estimate of variability components for garlic germplasm evaluated at Kulumsa during 2020 cropping season

Traits	Range		Variance components			Coefficient of variation					
	Max	Min	$\sigma^2 g$	$\sigma^2 p$	σ_e^2	ECV (%)	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	H2 (%)	GA	GAM (%)
Vigor	4.50	2.00	0.20	0.40	0.19	14.55	20.87	14.97	0.51	0.67	22.12
Plant height(cm)	82.00	57.00	17.01	24.99	7.98	4.12	7.29	6.02	0.68	7.01	10.23
Neck thickness (cm)	2.36	0.56	0.02	0.05	0.39	20.32	23.99	12.76	0.29	0.14	13.97
Shaft length/ Pseudostem (cm)	36.60	13.80	10.43	14.09	3.66	7.62	14.94	12.85	0.74	5.72	22.77
Leaf width(cm)	2.20	1.14	0.04	0.04	0.00	2.75	11.77	11.44	0.94	0.38	22.92
Leaf Length(cm)	60.60	35.00	7.95	9.90	1.96	3.12	6.99	6.26	0.80	5.20	11.56
Number of cloves per bulb (No.)	30.00	6.40	15.18	17.81	2.62	8.37	21.81	20.14	0.85	7.41	38.30
Weight of cloves (g)	98.58	10.22	81.44	95.82	14.37	15.74	40.65	37.48	0.85	17.14	71.18
Clove height (cm)	3.46	0.50	0.06	0.16	0.09	12.31	16.02	10.26	0.41	0.33	13.55
Cloves diameter(cm)	3.56	0.62	0.01	0.12	0.12	28.71	29.97	8.63	0.08	0.06	5.12
Total yield wt(t)/ha	22.05	0.52	6.28	11.02	4.74	31.27	47.70	36.02	0.57	3.89	56.03

Table 2:- Estimate of variability components for garlic germplasm evaluated at Kulumsa during 2021 cropping season

Traits	Range		Variance components			Coefficient of variation					
	Max	Min	$\sigma^2 g$	$\sigma^2 p$	σ_e^2	ECV (%)	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	H2 (%)	GA	GAM (%)
Vigor	4.00	2.00	0.04	0.28	0.24	18.27	19.42	7.45	0.14	0.15	5.80
Plant height(cm)	83.75	53.00	8.31	26.12	17.80	6.08	7.36	4.15	0.32	3.35	4.82
Neck thickness (cm)	2.41	0.53	0.04	0.05	0.02	20.32	12.75	23.98	0.28	0.14	13.97
Shaft length/ Pseudostem (cm)	37.35	18.15	2.72	13.52	10.79	13.52	14.18	6.37	0.20	1.53	5.91
Leaf width(cm)	4.26	1.16	0.01	0.68	0.05	13.88	15.57	7.05	0.20	0.11	6.58
Leaf Length(cm)	61.20	27.20	3.60	13.26	9.68	6.66	4.10	4.10	0.27	2.03	4.34
Number of cloves per bulb (No.)	33.15	5.65	9.22	19.72	10.50	16.58	22.73	15.94	0.47	4.28	21.89
Weight of cloves (g)	51.12	4.63	47.45	84.42	36.97	25.66	38.78	29.10	0.56	10.64	44.90
Clove height (cm)	3.43	0.53	0.06	0.15	0.08	12.32	16.02	10.26	0.41	0.33	13.55
Cloves diameter(cm)	3.54	0.64	0.01	0.12	0.12	28.71	29.97	8.63	0.08	0.05	5.12
Total yield wt(t)/ha	14.96	1.27	2.49	5.59	3.11	31.08	41.73	27.84	0.44	2.17	38.27

CONCLUSION

For this study, significant variation was observed in the range of traits across garlic genotypes/accessions. The result indicated a significant difference among existing genotypes.During 2020 cropping season the highest genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficients of variation were observed respectively for weight of cloves and total yield $tha^{-1}$and moderately high heritability along with high genetic advance as percent of the mean were exhibited by shaft/pseodostem length. During 2021 cropping season the highest genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficients of variation were observed respectively for weight clove and total yield (tha^{-1}) and moderately high heritability along with high genetic advance as percent of the mean were exhibited by shaft length.Generally, the present study indicated that the presence of the genetic variability between germplasms to exploit the genetic improvement of the garlic crop through hybridization and simple selection methods.

Formatted: Highlight

REFERENCES

Abayneh Esays, Demeke Tafase, Gebeyehu Belay & Kebede Agazegn. 2003. Soil of Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center. National Soil Research Center (NSRC), *Soil survey and land evaluation, Technical Pape*r. No.76.

Allard R.W.1999. Principles of Plant Breeding. 2nd (ed). John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York. pp. 95-115.

Amarakoon, S., & Jayasekara, D. 2017. A Review on Garlic (*Allium Sativum* L.) As A Functional Food. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, *6*(6), 1777-1780.

Anonymous. 2020. R Core Team, 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.R version 3.6.3 (2020-02-29) – ‘Holding the Windsock’ Copyright (C) 2020 The R Foundation for Statistical Computing Platform: x86_64-w64-mingw32/x64 (64-bit).

Atinafu G, Tewlolede FT, Asfaw Y, Tabor G, Mengistu FG, et al. 2021 Morphological Characterization and Evaluation of Garlic (Allium sativum L.) Accessions Collected from Northern Highlands of Ethiopia. ACST 9: 474.

Azene Tesfaye 2021. Genetic Variability, Heritability, andGeneticAdvance Estimates in Garlic (*Allium sativum*) from the Gamo Highlands of Southern Ethiopia. International Journal of Agronomy Volume 2021, Article ID 3171642, 8 pages

Belaj, A., Satovic, Z., Rallo, L. and Trujillo, I. 2002.Genetic diversity and relationship in olive (*Olea europea*. L.) germplasm collection as determined by RAPD. *Theoretical and AppliedGenetics*, **105**: 638-644.

Burton G.W. & Devane E.M. 1953. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Feshica circnclinae*) from replicated clonal-material. *Agronomy Journal*. 45: 478-481.

Efiong, E. E., Akumba, L. P., Chukwu, E. C., Olusesan, A. I., & Obochi, G. 2020. Comparative Qualitative Phytochemical Analysis Of Oil, Juice And Dry Forms Of Garlic (Allium Sativum) And Different Varieties Of Onions (Allium Cepa) Consumed In Makurdi Metropolis. *International Journal of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, *12*(1), 9-16.

Falconer D.S. & Mackay F.C. 1996. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. Long man, New York. 464 pp.

Gomez, K. A., & Gomez, A. A. 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons.

Govind, Maji, S., Kumawat, R., Pal, A., Kumar, S. And Saha, S., 2015, Improvement of Growth, Yield and Quality of Garlic (Allium Sativum L.) Cv. G-282 through a Novel Approach. The Bioscan, 10(1): 23-27.https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/3171642

IPGRIE.2001. GRA, Descriptors for Allium (Allium spp.), International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.

Johnson H.W., Robinson H.F. & Comstock R.E. 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soya bean. *Agronomy Journal*. 47: 314-318.

Liu, H.-J., Huang, C.-P., Tong, P.-J., Xue, Y., Cui, M.-M., & Cheng, Z.-H. 2020. Response of Axillary Bud Development in Garlic (*Allium Sativum* L.) To Seed Cloves Soaked In Gibberellic Acid (GA3) Solution. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, *19*(4), 1044-1054.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Black, Portuguese (Brazil)

Mashhid H. 2015. Genetic Variation in Superior Tomato Genotypes Collected from North West of Iran. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Environmental Science*.3(6). 0219-0225.

Ogunniyan, D.J. and Olakojo, S.A. 2015. Genetic variation, heritability, genetic advance and agronomic character association of yellow elite inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *NigerianJ.Genet.*, **28**: 24-28.

Parle, M., Kumar and Vaibhav, 2007, Garlic-A Delicious Medical Nutrient In: Trivedi PC, Editor, Indian Folk Medicine. Jaipur, India: Pointer Publisher. Pp. 210-229.

Saurabh Dixit, A.K. Dubey, Lokendra Singh1, I.N. Shukla and Bankey Lal. 2021. Genetic variability and correlation studies among morphological traits in garlic (*Allium sativum* L.).Annals of Plant and Soil Research 23 (4):489-494. https://doi.org/10.47815/apsr.2021.10108

Sayadi, V., Karimzadeh, G., Rashidi Monfared, S., & Naghavi, M. R. 2020. Identification and Expression Analysis of S-Alk (En) Yl-L-Cysteine Sulfoxide Lyase Isoform Genes and Determination of Allicin Contents

Singh P.K. & Choudhary R.D. 1977. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis. Kalyani Publishers. New Delhi. pp. 178-185.

Sivasubramanian S. & Menon. N. 1973. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in rice. *Madras Agricultural Journal*. 60: 1139-1144.

Yebirzaf and Belete N. 2017. Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic advance of Growth and Yield Components of Garlic (Allium sativm L.*)* Germplasms. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare www.iiste.orgISSN 2224-3208 (Paper) ISSN 2225-093X (Online) Vol.7, No.21

Bal, S., Maity, T. K., & Maji, A. (2022). Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic gain for yield and quality traits in onion (Allium cepa L.). *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, *13*(7), 674-682.

Kumar, P., Kirti, S., Rani, R. and Singh, P., 2023. A Simplified, Efficient and Rapid DNA Extraction Protocol from Rice Grains and Leaf. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, *35*(21), pp.517-524.DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i214005

Pasupula, K., Verma, P., Zimik, M., Kaur, C., Vasudev, S., & Khar, A. 2024. Morphological, biochemical and molecular characterization of short-day tropical Indian garlic (Allium sativum L.). *Heliyon*, *10*(18).

Jayhoon, A. S., Kumar, P., Kumar, M., Yadav, M. K., & Singh, S. K. 2023. Analysis of Morphological and Biochemical Characters of Different Aromatic Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Varieties for Grain Quality Assessment.***Biological Forum – An International Journal* 15(9): 994-1000.**
Getaneh, M. (2022). *GENETIC VARIABILITY AND ASSOCIATION OF TRAITS IN GARLIC (Allium sativum L.) GENOTYPES IN FOGERA DISTRICT, NORTHWESTERN ETHIOPI* (Doctoral dissertation).

Kiran, Pradeep Kumar, Simran Kirti and Altamash Ali Daudi (2019). Phytochemical analysis and antioxidants activity of Silybum marianum (L.) Gaertn. Ann. Phytomed., 8(1):127-134.DOI: 10.21276/ap.2019.8.1.16

Bagchi, C. K., Shree, S., Ansar, M., Saxena, A. S., & Kumari, M. 2020. Polygenic variations and character association of morphological, biochemical and disease related traits in garlic (Allium sativum l.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, *9*(1), 1277-1283.

Khadi, P., & Hejjegar, S. H. I. (2022). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in garlic (Allium sativum L.) genotypes.

Formatted: Font: Portuguese (Brazil)