

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAS_12604
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of growth, yield and nutrient composition of calyx and leave of roselle (<i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> L.) cultivars as affected by salt stress conditions in far north region of Cameroon
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it highlights the importance of salinity in crop physiology, plant growth and yield. It also makes recommendation for the use of salt-tolerant varieties in coastal and sudano-sahelian environments. Assessment of the different plant parts for the availability of essential nutrients under salt-stressed conditions helps in determining the part of the plant that can be nutritional utilised under such situations.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is appropriate. However I have revised it as: Evaluating the effect of salt stress conditions on the growth, yield and nutrient composition of calyces and leaves of roselle (<i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> L.) cultivars in far north region of Cameroon</p>	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is ok. It outlines the structure as represented in the main manuscript	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Since it is a review, the manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are ok. However, if the author(s) wish to enrich it, that should be welcomed	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of language in terms of grammar and scientific inference is good	
Optional/General comments	Author(s) should address the tracked changes I made in the main manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mutari Abubakari
Department, University & Country	CSIR-SARI, Ghana