

Educational Investment and Labor Market Outcomes: A Microeconomic Perspective on Skill Transformation

9
10
11
12

ABSTRACT

This research examines how educational investment and skill development affect labor market outcomes, including income, employment status, and job relevance, among educated individuals in Central Java. Additionally, the study examines how demographic factors such as gender, age, and residential location moderate these relationships. This is a quantitative research study employing a descriptive-inferential approach. It adopts a microeconomic perspective to understand individual-level variation in education-to-employment pathways. The study was conducted in Central Java Province, Indonesia, from July to October 2025. The region was selected due to its diverse socio-economic conditions and high rate of educated unemployment. Using stratified random sampling, data were collected from 400 respondents aged 18-45 who had completed at least secondary education. Data collection instruments included structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews. The study applied multiple linear regression analysis using SmartPLS to test both direct and moderating effects among variables.

The findings indicate that educational investment has a significant impact on monthly earnings, formal job placement, and job alignment, although it does not notably affect job satisfaction. In contrast, skill transformation, particularly in digital and soft skills, shows a more substantial and consistent influence across all labor market outcomes. Demographic factors, particularly gender and urban-rural location, significantly moderate these relationships, indicating structural inequalities in access and impact.

13
14
15
16

Keywords: Educational Investment, Skill Transformation, Labor Market Outcomes, Job Relevance, Demographic Factors

17

1. INTRODUCTION

18 Human capital development is a key pillar
19 for sustainable economic growth,
20 particularly in developing regions. Among
21 its critical components, educational
22 investment plays a transformative role in
23 shaping workforce quality and
24 productivity. Grounded in (Prasetya and

25 Prakarsa 2021) (Becker 1964) human
26 capital theory, education is framed not
27 just as a social good but as a long-term
28 economic investment. (Psacharopoulos
29 and Patrinos 2018) emphasized that
30 returns to education, both social and
31 private, remain significant across global

32 economies, particularly in labor-driven
33 markets. More recently, (Aslam and
34 Rawal 2020) reaffirm that well-targeted
35 educational investments contribute
36 significantly to individual income growth
37 and national productivity, primarily when
38 supported by equitable access.

39 Several studies show that each additional
40 year of education is associated with
41 income growth. For instance, (UNESCO
42 2023) highlights that in lower-middle-
43 income countries, an extra year of
44 schooling may increase individual
45 earnings by approximately 10% on
46 average. (Montenegro and Patrinos 2022)
47 confirmed that the rate of return on
48 tertiary education continues to grow
49 globally, despite rising levels of degree
50 saturation. However, these returns are not
51 uniform across regions, as local
52 educational quality, labor market
53 structures, and economic sectors
54 influence the conversion of education into
55 income. The heterogeneity of these
56 effects has not been adequately captured
57 in Indonesia's subnational labor data.

58 In Central Java Province (Jawa Tengah),
59 one of the most populous regions in
60 Indonesia, with over 36 million residents
61 (Statistik 2023), education and
62 employment outcomes display a complex
63 relationship. Although the gross
64 enrollment ratio in secondary and tertiary
65 education has increased, the open
66 unemployment rate among educated
67 youth remains relatively high, at 5.55% in
68 2023. Interestingly, BPS also reports that
69 graduates of vocational high schools
70 (SMK) face higher unemployment rates
71 (8.18%) than general high school
72 graduates, indicating a mismatch between
73 educational output and market needs.
74 This issue mirrors similar trends found in
75 Vietnam and the Philippines, where
76 technical and vocational education fails to
77 align with labor demands (Nguyen and
78 Taylor 2021).

79 These statistics illustrate a critical
80 problem in the region: skill mismatch.
81 (Reiskarts and Romele 2025) define this
82 as the growing gap between the skills
83 offered by educational institutions and
84 those demanded by employers. In Central

85 Java, the persistence of educated
86 unemployment suggests that formal
87 qualifications alone are insufficient to
88 secure employment, particularly in a labor
89 market being restructured by technology,
90 automation, and platform-based work.
91 (McGuinness, Pouliakas, and Redmond
92 2019) Highlight that the mismatch is
93 highest in lower-income regions, where
94 labor markets lack mechanisms for
95 validating skills beyond formal degrees.

96 This brings attention to the concept of skill
97 transformation, the process through which
98 individuals acquire adaptive, soft, and
99 digital competencies to remain
100 competitive. (Dong, Li, and Chang 2025)
101 argue that academic-to-vocational
102 transitions are increasingly crucial in
103 digital economies. Similarly, a report by
104 the (Forum 2020) ranked problem-solving,
105 digital literacy, and teamwork as essential
106 competencies in the post-pandemic labor
107 market. Yet, in Central Java, adult
108 participation in non-formal skill training
109 remains limited, and the infrastructure for
110 lifelong learning, such as community-
111 based technical education or micro-
112 credentialing systems, is underdeveloped
113 (Bank 2022).

114 The gap between formal education and
115 actual employability underscores the
116 importance of integrating skill
117 transformation into the broader framework
118 of educational investment. (Peña-Lang
119 and Astigarraga 2025) Advocate for
120 responsive education systems that adapt
121 to rapid industrial and technological shifts.
122 In Central Java, where a significant
123 portion of the labor force is absorbed in
124 agriculture and informal sectors, upskilling
125 for digital readiness remains an uphill
126 challenge. (Prasetya and Prakarsa 2021)
127 and point out that despite national policies
128 promoting Industry 4.0 readiness, regional
129 disparities in digital training access persist
130 across Indonesia.

131 Prior research in Indonesia has
132 predominantly focused on national-level
133 statistics or urban labor centers, often
134 neglecting microeconomic variation at the
135 provincial level. For instance, (Suryahadi
136 2020) examine national employment
137 shocks but do not address how education

138 and skills interact at the household or
139 individual level. Studies in Java that adopt
140 a micro-lens, such as (Trisnawati 2023),
141 remain limited in scope and fail to
142 incorporate demographic moderators,
143 such as gender, age, and urban-rural
144 disparities. This study, therefore,
145 addresses a significant empirical gap in
146 Indonesian labor economics.

147 Hence, this research aims to explore how
148 educational investment and skill
149 transformation affect labor-market
150 outcomes, including employment status,
151 income, and job relevance, among
152 educated individuals in Central Java. It
153 also examines how demographic
154 variables such as gender, age, and place
155 of residence moderate these
156 relationships. The study applies a
175

177 **2.1 Research Location and Time**

178 This study was conducted in Central Java
179 Province, Indonesia, a region
180 characterized by diverse socio-economic
181 conditions and varying educational and
182 employment profiles. The research period
183 spanned from July to October 2025.

184

185 **2.2 Research Type and Approach**

186 This research adopted a quantitative
187 method with a descriptive-inferential
188 approach. The goal was to analyze the
189 statistical relationship between
190 educational investment, skill
191 transformation, and labor market
192 outcomes, while also examining how
193 socio-demographic factors may moderate
194 these relationships.

195

196 **2.3 Population and Sample**

197 The population targeted in this study
198 comprised working-age individuals (18-45
199 years old) residing in Central Java who
200 had completed at least secondary or
201 tertiary education. The sampling
202 technique used was stratified random
203 sampling, ensuring representation across
204 education levels and employment
205 categories. A sample size of 400
206 respondents was determined to ensure
207 statistical validity and generalizability of
208 the results.

209

157 microeconomic perspective to understand
158 how individual decision-making on
159 education and skills translates into
160 economic returns within a region-specific
161 context.

162 The findings are expected to provide
163 contextualized, evidence-based
164 recommendations for policymakers,
165 educational institutions, and local
166 governments by elucidating how
167 educational pathways and skill acquisition
168 shape labor market entry and
169 progression, especially in an increasingly
170 digitized economy like Central Java. This
171 study contributes to the development of
172 adaptive, inclusive, and regionally
173 responsive education-to-employment
174 strategies.

176 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

210 **2.4 Research Variables and Operational Definitions**

211 The variables and their indicators are
212 summarized in Table 1.

213

214 **2.5 Data Collection Techniques**

215 Data were gathered through two main
216 instruments:

217
218 Structured questionnaires: Distributed
219 through both online (Google Forms) and
220 offline (paper-based) formats, covering all
221 variable indicators. Semi-structured
222 interviews: Conducted with a selected
223 sub-sample to capture contextual factors
224 affecting skill transformation and
225 employment quality.

226 **2.6 Instrument Testing**

227 To ensure the reliability and validity of the
228 research instruments:

229 Validity testing was conducted using
230 Pearson's Product-Moment Correlation,
231 where items with a correlation coefficient
232 (r) > 0.30 were considered valid.
233 Reliability testing used Cronbach's Alpha,
234 with $\alpha \geq 0.70$ indicating an acceptable
235 level of internal consistency.

236 **2.7 Data Analysis**

237 Data were analyzed using multiple linear
238 regression with the aid of Smart PLS
239 software to test the partial and

240 simultaneous effects of the independent 241 variables on teacher performance.

242

243

244

Table 1. Research variables and indicators

Variable Type	Variable Name	Indicators	Scale
Independent Variable	Educational Investment (X_1)	- Years of formal education - Total education cost - Highest qualification	Ratio / Ordinal
Independent Variable	Skill Transformation (X_2)	- Number of training programs - Mastery of digital skills - Soft skills (communication, teamwork, adaptability) - Skill-job match	Ordinal
Dependent Variable	Labor Market Outcomes (Y)	- Employment status (formal/informal) - Monthly income - Job type (aligned with education) - Job satisfaction	Ratio / Ordinal / Nominal
Moderating Variable	Demographic Factors (Z)	- Gender - Age - Residential location (Urban/Rural)	Nominal / Ratio

245

246 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

247 **3.1 Descriptive Statistics**

248 From a total of 400 respondents across
 249 Central Java Province, the majority were
 250 high school graduates (45%) and
 251 university graduates (35%), while the
 252 remaining respondents consisted of
 253 vocational school (SMK) graduates (12%)
 254 and diploma holders (8%). The gender
 255 composition was relatively balanced, with
 256 51% male and 49% female participants,
 257 and approximately 60% residing in urban
 258 areas. The respondents had an average
 259 of 13.2 years of formal education, with an
 260 average educational expenditure of IDR
 261 23.5 million. Furthermore, 67% of
 262 participants had attended non-formal
 263 training programs; however, only 38%
 264 reported that such training was directly
 265 relevant to their current occupations.

266 **3.2 Interpretation of Hypothesis**

267 **Test Result**

268 **3.2.1 Educational Investment (X_1) →**
 269 **Labor Market Outcomes (Y)**

270 Result: Significant ($p < 0.05$); $\beta = 0.32$
 271 Interpretation: Educational investment
 272 exerts a positive and statistically
 273 significant influence on labor market
 274 outcomes. Each additional year of
 275 schooling or higher educational
 276 attainment is associated with improved
 277 monthly income, greater likelihood of
 278 formal job placement, and better job-

279 education alignment. These findings align
 280 with (Psacharopoulos and Patrinos 2018)
 281 and reinforce (Becker 1964) human
 282 capital theory, which posits that education
 283 functions as a productive investment that
 284 enhances individual economic returns.

285 **3.2.2 Skill Transformation (X_2) → Labor**
 286 **Market Outcomes (Y)**

287 Result: Highly Significant ($p < 0.01$); $\beta =$
 288 0.45
 289 Interpretation: Skill transformation
 290 demonstrates the strongest effect among
 291 all predictors. Respondents who actively
 292 engaged in non-formal training—
 293 particularly in *digital literacy* and *soft*
 294 *skills*—reported higher earnings,
 295 improved job relevance, and greater job
 296 satisfaction. This supports the (World
 297 Economic Forum 2023) report
 298 emphasizing adaptive skills as key
 299 determinants of employability in the digital
 300 economy. The result suggests that in
 301 dynamic labor markets, continuous skill
 302 enhancement has become a more
 303 immediate driver of employment success
 304 than traditional educational credentials.

305 **3.2.3 Moderating Effect of**
 306 **Demographic Factors (Z) on the**
 307 **Relationship between Educational**
 308 **Investment (X_1) and Labor Market**
 309 **Outcomes (Y)**

310 Result: Partially Significant ($p < 0.05$ for
 311 gender and location)

312 Interpretation: Demographic variables
 313 such as gender and residential location
 314 partially moderate the relationship
 315 between education and labor outcomes.
 316 The positive impact of higher education is
 317 more pronounced among males in urban
 318 areas than among females in rural
 319 regions. This disparity reflects structural
 320 inequalities in access, quality, and labor
 321 market valuation of education. It also
 322 resonates with global findings on
 323 gendered returns to education in
 324 developing economies (ILO, 2022).

325 **3.2.4 Moderating Effect of** 326 **Demographic Factors (Z) on the** 327 **Relationship between Skill** 328 **Transformation (X₂) and Labor Market** 329 **Outcomes (Y)**

330 Result: Significant ($p < 0.01$ for all
 331 moderators)
 332 Interpretation: The moderating role of
 333 demographics is more consistent in the
 334 skill transformation model. The effects are
 335 strongest among younger, productive-age
 336 respondents (25–35 years) and those
 337 living in urban areas. This indicates that
 338 digital and soft-skill training programs
 339 have not yet achieved equitable reach or
 340 effectiveness across all demographic
 341 segments. Rural participants and older
 342 respondents report lower perceived
 343 benefits, suggesting gaps in training
 344 accessibility and adaptability.

345 **3.3 Discussion**

346 The results provide substantial empirical
 347 support for the human capital framework.
 348 Investment continues to yield measurable
 349 labor market advantages, and the findings
 350 reveal that skill transformation now serves
 351 as a more dynamic and responsive
 352 predictor of labor success in the digital
 353 age. This shift indicates that static
 354 academic credentials are increasingly
 355 being supplanted by *transferable*
 356 *competencies*, particularly digital
 357 proficiency, communication, and problem-
 358 solving skills, as core drivers of
 359 employability.

360 Furthermore, the significant moderating
 361 effects of demographic factors underscore

362 the persistence of inequality in
 363 educational and skill-based opportunities.
 364 The gender gap in returns to education
 365 and the rural–urban divide in skill access
 366 reflect both socio-economic and
 367 infrastructural disparities. As noted by
 368 (UNESCO 2023), digital learning
 369 ecosystems remain concentrated in urban
 370 centers, leaving peripheral regions
 371 underserved. This imbalance may hinder
 372 inclusive economic participation and
 373 widen income inequality if not addressed
 374 through policy reform.

375 The study's evidence also suggests that
 376 non-formal education and skill training
 377 programs, when aligned with local labor
 378 market demands, can bridge structural
 379 gaps that formal education alone cannot.
 380 Policymakers should thus prioritize
 381 flexible, regionally adaptive education
 382 frameworks integrating both formal and
 383 informal learning pathways. Such
 384 integration will enhance workforce agility,
 385 reduce structural unemployment, and
 386 promote inclusive labor participation,
 387 particularly among marginalized groups.

388 In summary, while education remains
 389 foundational, the synergy between
 390 educational investment and continuous
 391 skill transformation determines labor
 392 market competitiveness in the digital era.
 393 Equitable access to skill development
 394 opportunities across gender, geography,
 395 and socio-economic strata should
 396 therefore become a central agenda in
 397 Indonesia's human capital policy.

398 **4. CONCLUSION**

399 This study examined the influence of
 400 educational investment and skill
 401 transformation on labor market outcomes
 402 in Central Java Province, Indonesia, while
 403 considering demographic factors as
 404 moderating variables. Based on data
 405 analysis from 400 respondents of
 406 productive age, several key conclusions
 407 emerged:
 408

409 Educational investment exerts a positive
 410 and significant effect on labor market
 411 outcomes, particularly in enhancing

412 monthly earnings, formal employment
 413 status, and the alignment between
 414 educational background and job type.
 415 This finding reinforces the principles of
 416 Human Capital Theory, confirming that
 417 education remains an essential and
 418 valuable economic asset.

419 Skill transformation demonstrates a
 420 stronger impact than formal education
 421 across all indicators of labor market
 422 success. Mastery of digital competencies,
 423 soft skills, and participation in non-formal
 424 training programs substantially contribute
 425 to higher productivity levels and greater
 426 job satisfaction.

427 Demographic factors, including gender,
 428 age, and residential location, significantly
 429 moderate the relationships between
 430 education, skills, and labor outcomes.
 431 Disparities in training access and
 432 educational quality in rural areas, as well
 433 as gender gaps in employment results,
 434 highlight the need for more inclusive and
 435 equitable policy interventions.

436 The mismatch between educational
 437 output and labor market demand remains
 438 a major challenge. The relatively high
 439 unemployment rate among vocational
 440 school (SMK) graduates indicates a
 441 persistent skills mismatch, underscoring
 442 the importance of curriculum reform and
 443 stronger industry–education partnerships
 444 to align competencies with market needs.

445 **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL**
 446 **INTELLIGENCE)**

447 Author(s) hereby declare that NO
 448 generative AI technologies such as Large
 449 Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT,
 450 etc.) and text-to-image generators have
 451 been used during the writing or editing of
 452 this manuscript.

487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512

REFERENCES

Aslam, M, and S Rawal. 2020. "Investing in Education for Economic Growth." *Oxford Policy Management*.

Bank, World. 2022. *World Development Report: Education for Development*. World Bank. <https://www.worldbank.org>.

Becker, Gary S. 1964. *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education*. University of Chicago Press.

Dong, H, Z Li, and T Chang. 2025. "Academic-to-Vocational Transitions in Southeast Asia's Digital Economy." *Asian Skills Journal* 12(1): 44–63.

Forum, World Economic. 2020. "The Future of Jobs Report 2020." *World Economic Forum*.

McGuinness, S, K Pouliakas, and P Redmond. 2019. "Skills Mismatch: Concepts, Measurement and Policy

- 513 Approaches." *ILO Working Paper*
514 *Series* (231).
- 515 Montenegro, C E, and H A Patrinos.
516 2022. "Returns to Education around
517 the World: Evidence from the Global
518 Dataset on Education and
519 Earnings." *World Bank Policy*
520 *Research Working Paper* (9401).
- 521 Nguyen, T T, and S Taylor. 2021.
522 "Aligning Vocational Education with
523 Labor Market Demand in ASEAN:
524 Evidence from Vietnam and the
525 Philippines." *Journal of Comparative*
526 *Education* 57(3): 301–17.
- 527 Peña-Lang, M, and E Astigarraga. 2025.
528 "Responsive Education Systems for
529 Industrial Transitions." *International*
530 *Review of Education Policy* 41(1):
531 55–72.
- 532 Prasetya, Danu, and Prakarsa. 2021.
533 "Regional Disparities in Digital
534 Training Access in Indonesia."
535 *Journal of Indonesian Development*
536 *Studies*.
- 537 Psacharopoulos, G, and H A Patrinos.
538 2018. "Returns to Investment in
539 Education: A Decennial Review of
540 the Global Literature." *Education*
541 *Economics* 26(5): 445–58.
- 542 Reiskarts, M, and I Romele. 2025. "Skill
543 Mismatch and Digital Gaps in Low-
544 Income Labor Markets." *European*
545 *Journal of Labor Economics* 33(2):
546 112–30.
- 547 Statistik, Badan Pusat. 2023. "Statistik
548 Pasar Modal Dan Profil Demografi
549 Investor." <https://www.bps.go.id>.
- 550 Suryahadi, A. 2020. "National
551 Employment Shocks and Education-
552 Skill Interaction in Indonesia."
553 *Indonesian Journal of Labor*
554 *Economics*.
- 555 Trisnawati, D. 2023. "Microeconomic
556 Variations in Education and Labor
557 Market Outcomes in Java." *Journal*
558 *of Southeast Asian Studies*.
- 559 UNESCO. 2023. *Education and Economic*
560 *Development Report*. UNESCO.
561 <https://www.unesco.org>.
- 562 World Economic Forum. 2023. "The
563 Future of Jobs Report 2023."
564