

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOOCR_12430
Title of the Manuscript:	Corpus - based Study on the Translation Methods of the Figures of Speech in Zhuang's Folk Songs of Napo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As the different parts of the world become more intertwined, translation studies become very necessary. Translation studies such as the figures of speech of the Zhuang's folk songs of Napo helps to open a vast window into the important literature of the Asian people. Also, the use of literal translation is a testament to the overwhelming need to preserve culture and tradition despite engaging in translation to a foreign language. This study will also help other scholars in oral literature and translation to stick to the literal translation model for the preservation of the culture and nuances in the original text language.	Thank you for your thoughtful comments. I agree that translation studies are essential for bridging cultural divides as global connections deepen. I hope this study aids scholars in oral literature and translation in adopting methods that honor diverse cultures.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest: The Study of Figures of Speech in Zhuang's People's Folk Songs: A Corpus Model	Thank you for your valuable feedback, but we believe that the focus of our paper is specifically on translation methods, not on analyzing the figures of speech. For this reason, we believe the current title more accurately reflects the content of our study.

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract ought to capture the procedure or methodology adopted by the researcher. This should come before the final part which has to do with findings. Also, the researcher should strengthen his/her findings . Make it more pungent.	Thank you for your valuable feedback. I have revised the abstract as you suggested.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but the introductory part should clearly define the Zhuang people of Napo. Who are they? What region of the world do they belong to? In which country/ies can they be found?	Thank you for your valuable feedback. I have revised the abstract as you suggested.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this	The title of the article clearly establishes variables under investigation. The methodology of the research is also clear. The data for the study is generously provided. The	Your comments are much appreciated!

	analyses are clearly discussed and the results presented in percentage.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Good	Your comments are much appreciated!
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Your comments are much appreciated!
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is generally promising and shows serious intent and robust scholarship.	Your comments are much appreciated!

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	