Journal Name: [**Journal of Economics and Trade**](https://www.ikprress.org/index.php/JET)

Manuscript Number: **Ms\_JET\_13681**

Title of the Manuscript:

**AI Literacy in Business: Preparing Executives for Augmented Decision-Making**

Type of the Article

**PART 1: Comments**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | | | | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|  | **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments** | |  |
| **are strictly prohibited during peer review.** |  | |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of**  **this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | I think the author's research work is in the development phase. They are still working on further innovation. They just explained a few of their proven works for paper publication. For further installation and development, they need to do more research and necessary implementation. | | | | Thank you for your insightful comment. We appreciate your understanding that our research work is still in the development phase. As we continue to innovate and refine our approach, we recognize the importance of further research and implementation. The current manuscript outlines some of our proven works, but we are actively exploring additional avenues for improvement and application. We are committed to continuing our research efforts and will incorporate any new findings in future publications. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | Yes | | | | Thank you for your insightful comment. |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest**  **the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions**  **here.** | Partially, it should be improved by following the (Optional/General)  comments given below. | | | | Thank you for your insightful comment. It has been improved |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | Yes, so far the data they explained in their research work were from standard sources. Their approach was good. They need to add future scopes in a special part. | | | | Thank you for your valuable feedback. We appreciate your recognition of the quality of our approach and the use of standard data sources in our research. To further strengthen the manuscript, we will add a **Future Scope** section, where we will outline potential areas for further research and innovation, as well as the broader applications of our work. This section will highlight the steps we intend to take in expanding and developing the research in the future. |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have**  **suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | Yes, but add more relevant research works with this research. | | | | Noted |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | Yes. | Thank you for the comment |
| **Optional/General** comments | • **Abstract Clarity**:  • **Refine**: Simplify language and ensure it succinctly captures the key findings and implications.  • **Improve**: Highlight the primary research question clearly.  • **Introduction Structure**:  • **Refine**: Clearly define the purpose and significance of the study early on.  • **Improve**: Create a more explicit roadmap for the paper's structure, outlining what each section will cover.  • **Literature Review**:  • **Refine**: Streamline the review to focus on the  most relevant studies directly related to AI literacy in leadership.  • **Improve**: Integrate a thematic analysis to connect different studies and highlight gaps more effectively.  • **Methodology**:  • **Add**: A clear methodology section explaining how case studies were selected, analyzed, and | Thank you for the comment |
|  |  |  |

interpreted.

• **Improve**: Include any frameworks or models used in the analysis for better reproducibility.

• **Results Presentation**:

• **Refine**: Present results in a more structured format, such as tables or bullet points.

• **Improve**: Ensure that results are directly linked to hypotheses or research questions posed earlier.

• **Discussion Depth**:

• **Refine**: Strengthen the discussion by offering more critical analysis of findings and their implications for practice.

• **Improve**: Address potential counterarguments or limitations of AI literacy more thoroughly.

• **Conclusion and Recommendations**:

• **Refine**: Summarize key insights more concisely and emphasize actionable recommendations.

• **Improve**: Clearly differentiate between implications for executives, organizations, and policymakers.

• **References**:

• **Refine**: Ensure all citations are complete and formatted according to journal guidelines.

• **Improve**: Consider including more recent studies

to enhance relevance.

• **Overall Structure**:

• **Refine**: Ensure logical flow between sections, using subheadings for clarity.

• **Improve**: Consider the overall length and ensure that each section contributes meaningfully to the paper's objectives.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | Not applicable |