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|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The manuscript introduce the use of brown midrib 6 (bmr6) allele into high-biomass sorghum lines to tackle the resistance of lignocellulosic biomass to breakdown. Through a combination of molecular marker-assisted selection and traditional backcrossing ad repeated across several growing seasons, this study outlines a practical, scalable approach to tweaking cell wall composition while preserving solid agronomic traits. This approach also offers a working model for how a single-gene tweak can move from lab to field without losing relevance or reliability. |  |
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