

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_12741
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality of Residential Environmental Comfort in the Historical Area of Kampung Melayu with a Morphological Typology Approach (Case Study: Kampung Peranakan, kampung Banjar and Kampung Kalicilik, Semarang, Indonesia)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the environmental comfort of residential areas in historic urban settings, specifically focusing on Kampung Melayu in Semarang, Indonesia. It highlights the interplay between morphological typology, environmental factors (temperature, humidity, noise), and residential comfort, which is crucial for sustainable urban development. The study's findings can inform policymakers and urban planners on how to balance modernization with the preservation of cultural and historical heritage. Additionally, the research contributes to the broader discourse on improving living conditions in densely populated	

	urban areas, making it relevant for both local and global contexts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is generally suitable as it reflects the study's focus on environmental comfort and morphological typology in a historical area. However, it could be slightly more specific to highlight the unique cultural and historical context of Kampung Melayu.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from a clearer statement of the study's significance and potential implications for urban planning and heritage preservation. Additionally, it would be helpful to briefly mention the methodological approach (e.g., qualitative descriptive analysis) and the specific findings related to each of the three case study areas.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, with a clear methodology and well-defined variables (temperature, humidity, noise). The data collection and analysis methods are appropriate for the research objectives. However, the manuscript could benefit from a more detailed discussion of the limitations of the study, such as potential biases in data collection or the generalizability of the findings to other historical urban areas.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>The references are generally sufficient and include recent studies, particularly those from 2020 onwards. However, the manuscript could benefit from additional references on sustainable urban development and the impact of environmental factors on residential comfort in historical contexts. Some suggested references include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Gehl, J. (2010). Cities for People. Island Press. – Lynch, K. (1960). The Image of the City. MIT Press. – UN-Habitat (2020). World Cities Report 2020: The Value of Sustainable Urbanization. <p>These references could provide a broader theoretical framework for the study's findings.</p>	

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are occasional grammatical errors and awkward phrasing that could be improved. For example, in the abstract, "The results showed that the level of comfort in the three locations generally exceeded the standard threshold" could be rephrased to "The results indicated that the comfort levels in the three locations generally exceeded the standard thresholds." It is recommended to use professional editing services to enhance the clarity and flow of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-structured and provides valuable insights into the environmental comfort of residential areas in a historical context. However, it would benefit from a more detailed discussion of the implications of the findings for urban planning and heritage preservation. Additionally, including visual aids such as maps, graphs, or photographs of the study areas could enhance the reader's understanding of the morphological typology and environmental conditions. Finally, a section on future research directions could be added to suggest how this study could be expanded or applied to other historical urban areas.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Milad Olfat</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Farhangian University, Iran</p>