

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGAE_12716
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF AGRICULTURAL INCUBATION MODEL BENEFICIARIES AND ACCESSIBILITY IN SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA: IMPLICATION FOR SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The findings highlight the significant role of youth-focused initiatives in enhancing income levels and promoting entrepreneurial activities in agriculture. It provides valuable insights into how targeted support systems, such as financial and technical assistance, can be leveraged to drive rural development and economic empowerment. The study fills a critical knowledge gap regarding the socio-economic dynamics and operational challenges of incubation programs in developing regions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, This can be a better title. Assessing the Impact of Agricultural Incubation Models on Sustainable Development in South-South Nigeria."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but can be improved for clarity and conciseness. Remove redundant details about the methodology (e.g., exact sampling steps), which can be elaborated on in the methods section.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically accurate, with a solid methodology and appropriate statistical analyses	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, sufficient references.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Redundant phrases and verbose sentences. Inconsistent tense usage. Language should be improved.	
Optional/General comments	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajesh Kumar Bishnoi
Department, University & Country	University of Gottingen, Germany