



Journal Name: [Journal of Disease and Global Health](#)  
Manuscript Number: Ms\_JODAGH\_12794  
Title of the Manuscript: **A Participatory Epidemiological Study of Major Cattle Diseases in Urban and Peri-Urban Dairy Settings in Ethiopia**  
Type of the Article

## **General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>

## **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> ( <i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is good. It brings out the need for education of farmers in the study area on the causes of diseases so that they can get help for their sick animals as early as possible instead of thinking of other causes of disease which cannot be scientifically proven.	



**J. K. Press**

<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abbreviations in the abstract should be written out in full before the abbreviations can be used subsequently because the abstract is a summary of the work and should be comprehensive enough. Some figures and percentages should be included to results given together with level of significance of the results.</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>The subsections are good but it could have been better if it was done following the objectives of the study. This would reduced the subsections to 4 main sections</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Identification of major diseases</b></li><li><b>2. Risk mitigation of diseases identified</b></li><li><b>3. Farmers' perception of diseases and management of livestock diseases</b></li><li><b>4. Socioeconomic impact of these livestock diseases</b></li></ol>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This manuscript is scientifically correct. It the indigenous knowledge of cattle farmers and how they use this knowledge to help themselves. This knowledge is also very useful for scientist to be able to close the gap of ignorance causality of disease.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Some more recent references could be consulted and similar work from other African countries included e.g. Nsongka M.V., Nchinda V.P., Wirnkar V.L., Ndip L.A., Kimbi-Mbufong H.K. (2018). Cattle Farmers' Perception of Reproductive Failures and Their Effects on Cattle</b>	



**J. K. Press**

:	<b>Production in the North West Region of Cameroon. Greener Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 8(6): 128-137</b>	
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Munji Victorine Nsongka</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD), Cameroon</b>