

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Disease and Global Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JODAGH_12710
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation Of Lipid Parameters In Testosterone-Induced Benign Prostate Hyperplasia In Albino Rats Treated With Plantain Root Extract</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**l a c k o f N o v e l t y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The title is good... but it is preferable not to mention the type of extract used in treating the induced disease because the researcher used more than one extract in the treatment, so the title becomes: EVALUATION OF LIPID PARAMETERS IN TESTOSTERONE-INDUCED BENIGN PROSTATE HYPERPLASIA IN ALBINO MALE RATS.</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract is excellent. It contains an introduction, the aim of the research, methods of work, results and recommendations.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Scientifically speaking... yes, the manuscript is scientific... but the introduction is written in a very brief manner... it is necessary to address the importance of the extracts used in treating prostate enlargement, especially those used in research, and to address the importance of the lipid profile and its relationship to general	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The sources are very few and the percentage of modernity in them is low, not exceeding 4%.	

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly</b>	Yes , it is suitable.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	<b>No, comments.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Athraa H.Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Baghdad, Iraq</b>