

Journal Name:	Journal of Disease and Global Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JODAGH_12693
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidants, Antidiabetic and antihyperlipidemic effects of the spice ginger rhizome (<i>Zingiber officinale</i>) and cinnamon (<i>Cinnamomum zeylanicum</i>) in diabetic rats
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Comments are following:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract should include a distinct introduction and conclusion. 2. The experimental design and results are sound but discussion and conclusion sections require more depth and analysis. 3. The article literature review is comprehensive but the author needs to clarify how their works build upon and advance the existing knowledge in the field. 4. The article conclusions are somewhat very short and require more matter to have a balanced interpretation of the results. The conclusion should be elaborated for greater depth. 5. References should follow a single styling format; some are in square brackets while others are in round brackets. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Shobhit Prakash Srivastava</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>A.N.D. College of Pharmacy, India</p>