

Journal Name:	Journal of Disease and Global Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JODAGH_12693
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidants, Antidiabetic and antihyperlipidemic effects of the spice ginger rhizome (<i>Zingiber officinale</i>) and cinnamon (<i>Cinnamomum zeylanicum</i>) in diabetic rats
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work needs a explanation regarding the experimental animal approval from the IAEC/CPCSEA or any other body for the safety and care of experimental animals. <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Its missing in this research work, it seems to be a manipulated data work.2. It needs proofs of the bioanalytical tests of blood sample.3. It doesn't contains any picture/figure as a supporting document for the research work.4. Needs new references mostly (past 10 years).5. So much Grammatical mistakes are there.6. All texts are not as per journal guideline, means font is not same in all manuscript. So, from my side it needs revision	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is okay, but work has to revise.	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, its ok	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No, follow comments section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, it needs revision.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	no	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It needs revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranjan Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Raffles University, India