

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Biochemistry International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOB1_12779</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Physiological and phytochemical profiles of different Trigonella foenum-graecum genotypes</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> <b>Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Manuscript contains the basic study based finding related to the topic</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>It could be more elaborative from the color differentiation study based data that provide more significant relevance to the current study.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>More recent references can be added for the support of the study.</b>	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>Author should write the genotype name in the same format as in manuscript or in abstract.</b></p> <p><b>Mention and cite the references in the appropriate of the journal, there are lot of mistakes in the same regard.</b></p> <p>Significant difference (C.D.) it should be SD not CD, check it at many other sections too in the entire manuscript irrespective of critical differencens.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Prajya Arya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Good Manufacturing Practises India (IGMPI), India</b>