

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Basic and Applied Research international</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOBARI_12700
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Combining Supervised and Semi-Supervised Models to Enhance Personalized Education</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short communication</b>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This manuscript presents a hybrid framework that integrates Random Forest and Graph Neural Networks to improve personalized education, making it a valuable addition to the scientific community. By leveraging both labeled and unlabeled data, the authors demonstrate how to achieve more accurate predictions of student risk and complex relational insights, opening up possibilities for more targeted interventions. The study's focus on scalable, data-driven methods can be widely applied in diverse educational settings, enhancing both our theoretical understanding and real-world practice. Additionally, it highlights key considerations such as data quality and ethics, offering a comprehensive perspective for researchers aiming to implement responsible and effective machine learning models in education.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>I think the title is appropriate.</b>	

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>The summary generally illustrates the basic idea well - combining random forests and neural networks (GNNs) - and the improvements in accuracy and customization. But it can be improved through: Mentioning the specific scope or size of the "real-world educational dataset," providing readers with a better understanding of the data composition.</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, using well-known techniques and citing modern literature.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The current list of references includes both recent and relevant sources (ranging from 2020 to 2024) that cover key aspects of Graph Neural Networks, Random Forests, and their applications in classification tasks. They appear generally sufficient for supporting the claims of the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Overall, the language of the article is clear, understandable, and suitable for academic communication.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b></p>	<p>No competing interests or conflicts of interest are apparent from the text provided.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anas Alhardi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Selcuk University, Turkiye</b>