

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | <u>Journal of Biology and Nature</u> |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JOBAN_12754 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Identification of wildlife biodiversity in a protected area with rambutan (<i>Nephelium lappaceum</i>) agroecosystem using camera traps in Huehuetán, Chiapas, México. |
| Type of the Article | Short Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to

visit this link: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The study was conducted was very short period and using the camara trap for estimation of fauna species in Huehuetán, Chiapas, México. The observation was recored properly and applied methodology. Results and zzdiscussion part were not upto the journal objectives but can be consider for publication after correction of reviwer comments. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | No Camera Trap Detection of Rambutan (<i>Nephelium lappaceum</i>) in a Protected Area of Huehuetán, Chiapas, México. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Modified needed Critically go through each and every comment. | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Moreorless, suitable in short article | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | No Need to added atleast 5 refences not older than 2021. | |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Not bad | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Accepted after major revision only | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Vikas Kumar |
| Department, University & Country | Vivekananda Global University, India |