Short Research Article

Identification of wildlife biodiversity in a protected area with rambutan (*Nepheliumlappaceum*) agroecosystem using camera traps in Huehuetán, Chiapas, México.

Abstract

The relative abundance of mammals is an indicator of population status and its evaluation in different times or spaces evidence its possible spatial and temporal variation. Photo-trapping in biodiversity population studies is a reliable, non-invasive, efficient, easy and a fast tool with possibilities to record species that move long distances or are in low densities. The shaded rambutan management system presents a complex and diverse vegetation structure, which translates into a high heterogeneity of habitats, and a high presence of wildlife richness. The research was carried out in the municipality of Huehuetán, Chiapas, Mexico. Sampling was carried out from January 5 to November 5, 2023. Twelve camera traps were used in four sectors, covering an area of 2500 $m^2$with rambutan agroecosystem, with normal management. Each photo-trapping sector had 3 camera traps which operated 24 hours a day. Species found included White-tailed Deer (*Odocoileus virginianus Zimmermann*), Gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteusSchreber*), Virginia Opossum (*Didelphis virginiana Allen*), Raccoons (*Procyon lotor Linnaeus*), American hog-nosed skunk (*Conepatusleuconotus Lichtenstein*), Nine-banded armadillo (*Dasypusnovemcinctus*), White-winged Doves (*Zenaida asiatica*), Lowland paca (*cuniculus paca*) and Tricolored heron (*Egretta tricolor*). The rambutan agroecosystem connects with different types of habitats in the landscape, providing local animals with the necessary conditions to generate feeding, shelter, resting, reproduction and breeding sites. According to what has been observed, population growth is negatively affecting the biodiversity of the area, forcing species to migrate to find new habitats, generating a change in the local wildlife.

Key words: wildlife, biodiversity, rambutan, agroecosystem.

Arrange the keywords in a to z order and maximum 4 to 6

Introduction

Knowledge of animal biodiversity in tropical perennial crops is recommended in order to derive actions for their management and conservation. The analysis should include an evaluation of the current state of the populations in terms of their structure, spatial location in the management unit, their abundance and the species that compose them [1,2]. According to Sargeant & Douglas [3], one of the simplest and most appropriate activities to carry out management and conservation actions in any protected area is to obtain data on the relative abundance of species, which are very useful for detecting changes in the dynamics of wildlife populations, thus allowing us to understand the dynamics of the species and be able to identify the effect of management actions on them. Robinson & Redford [4] point out the population changes of fauna with deforestation and the transformation of the original vegetation, in addition to uncontrolled hunting that negatively affect numerous species. When this situation arises, Aranda [5] suggests its use through controlled management. The relative abundance of mammals is an indicator of the population situation and its evaluation in different times or spaces shows its possible spatial and temporal variation. This parameter, as well as the activity pattern, can contribute to the proposal of strategies for the conservation of the species [6]. Abundance estimation for medium and large mammals is difficult and costly due to their nocturnal and evasive habits, they are generally found in low densities, so it is advisable to record their relative abundance index [7]. Photo-trapping in biodiversity population studies is a reliable, non-invasive [8,9,10], efficient [8], easy and fast tool with possibilities to record cryptic species that move long distances or are in low densities [11, 10]. The use of remotely triggered cameras to photograph wildlife dates back to 1877, but the method was little used until the invention of cameras with automatic infrared sensors in the 1980s [12]. Since this time, the last 20 years have seen an increase in ecology and conservation studies. This is reflected in a 50% annual growth in publications involving the use of camera traps over the past decade [13,14]. Currently, the significance and importance of biodiversity is not in doubt and a large number of parameters have been developed to measure it, as an indicator of the state of ecological systems and application for conservation, management and monitoring purposes [15].

Nephelium lappaceum L. belongs to the *Sapindaceae* family, which includes more than 150 genera and about 2000 species of trees, shrubs, herbaceous and climbing plants widely distributed in the tropics and warm zones of the planet [16]. It has been successfully introduced to the Soconusco region of Chiapas [17]; commercial production of rambutan has increased considerably in southern Mexico, especially in marginal or low-lying areas to produce*Coffea arabica L.* [18].

The shaded rambutan management system presents a complex and diverse vegetation structure, which results in a high heterogeneity of habitats, and therefore, in the presence of a high richness of wildlife [19]. In addition, they act as a buffer zone between forested areas and matrixes of high-quality productive zones, reducing edge effects, andserve as corridors increasing connectivity between conserved areas and fragmented landscapes [20, 21, 22]. The rambutan agroecosystem allows the maintenance of various species of medium-sized mammals (weighing between 500 g to 10 kg) and about 70% of the species that originally existed in the coffee plantations are found there [23]. The presence and activity of each animal species in an ecosystem can be identified through its impact on it. Among the possible impacts of animal biodiversity on the plant and animal community are: seed dispersal, seed predation, and control over insect and rodents [24]. Studies on wildlife diversity in forest systems in our country began a little more than 30 years ago, with emphasis on studies related to plants, insects and birds [25]. However, although agroecosystems allow the conservation of biodiversity, today hunting is one of the factors that is threatening the animals that live within coffee plantations. Generally, the most frequently hunted animals are those that are common in the area and have high reproductive rates, as has been pointed out [26]. In some areas, hunting may be sustainable for some species, but not for all, because populations do not respond in the same way to such pressure [27]. Robinson & Redford [4] report the problems faced by animal populations in tropical regions in Latin America, along with deforestation and transformation of native forests, uncontrolled hunting negatively affects numerous species, and their monitoring could favor their exploitation [5]. Most of the animals present in agroforestry systems perform substantive actions for the maintenance of the ecosystem. However, at present, there is a struggle for the survival of both animal and plant species due to the concomitant effect of the increase in human settlements and the decrease in plant habitats. This presents an antagonistic relationship between humans and animals in the agroecosystems of theSoconusco in Chiapas. The objective will be to know the index of biological diversity in the rambutan agroecosystem in the municipality of Huehuetan, Chiapas.

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1. Geographic location

Location of the Experiment

The current investigation was developed in conditions of plantation established in the common landTuzantán, which is located in the Municipality of Huehuetán in the State of Chiapas Mexico and is located at the GPS coordinates: Longitude (dec): -*92.295000*, Latitude (dec): *14.980556*; with an altitude of 360 meters. It is bordered to the north by the municipalities of Huehuetán, Tuzantán, Motozintla and the Republic of Guatemala; to the east by the Republic of Guatemala, the municipalities of Cacahoatán, Tuxtla Chico and Hidalgo border; to the south by the municipalities of Hidalgo border, Suchiate and the Pacific Ocean; to the west by the Pacific Ocean and the municipalities of Mazatán and Huehuetán. A route was taken over the four points where the camera traps were installed with a Garmin GPS, and waypoints were plotted at each point to mark the study area.

Coordinates, boundaries and physiography

The study area is located between parallels 14°55' and 15°07' north latitude; meridians 92°18' and 92°34' west longitude; altitude between 0 and 800 m. It is bordered to the north by the municipalities of Tuzantán and Tapachula; to the east by the municipality of Tapachula; to the south by the municipalities of Tapachula and Mazatán; to the west by the municipalities of Mazatán and Huixtla. Physiographically it is located in the Central American mountain range. In the lower part is located the Chiapas Coastal Plain to the north with hills and represents 8.11% of the region [28].

Description of climate, soil and vegetation

The climate type is warm sub-humid with summer rainfall (64.84%) and warm humid with abundant summer rainfall (35.16%) with average temperature of 24 - 30°C and between 1 500 - 4 500 mm of precipitation [28]. The dominant soil in the study area is Cambisol (33.67%), Acrisol (20.16%), Luvisol (20.22%), Phaeozem (8.68%), Regosol (6.35%), Gleysol (5.24%) and Fluvisol (3.64%).

Sampling and trap locations

Sampling was carried out from January 5 to November 5, 2023. Twelve camera traps or photo-trapping cameras were used in four sectors, covering an area of 2500 square meters with rambutan cultivation system, which was normally managed by its producers.

Each photo-trapping sector had three double camera traps brandceyomur, lmhome, bushnell, which were in operation 24 hours, day and night, programmed with 20-second video and three photographs with each activation. Each photo-trapping sector was identified with an alphanumeric key, preceded by the letter of the site to which it belonged (A, B and C), followed by the number of the photo-trapping station according to the order in which they were located. These photo-trapping points were recorded on a log sheet, which contained important data for the control of the project, date, time, identification key and GPS location.

Species identification

For the analysis of the data obtained, the first step was to identify the species captured by the cameras, this was done using specialized literature and guides for the identification of mammals [29]; the nomenclature was based on that described by Wilson and Reeder [30] and Ramírez-Pulido [31]. The following were taken as individual records: 1) consecutive photographs of the same individual 2) photographs taken within the same four-hour period of a species were considered as a single record, 3) in photographs where more than one individual of a species appeared consecutively and within four hours of each other, the number of individuals that appeared was taken as the number of records [32]. The cameras were installed for 6 months of rain and 3 months of drought, which consisted of almost a whole year to study the behaviors of the animals in these 2 seasons.

4.11 Activity pattern

To evaluate the activity pattern of the mammals found, only species with more than 11 records were considered, which has been considered the minimum number to describe activity patterns [33, 34, 35].

Resultsand Discussion

The wild life diversity captured by camera traps are shown below.

1. **Fig 1 :Common name:** White-tailed Deer

Scientific name: *odocoileusvirginianus*

2. **Fig2 :Commonname:** Gray fox

Scientific name: *Urocyoncinereo argenteus*

3. **Fig3 :Commonname:** Virginia Opossum

Scientific name: *Didelphis virginiana*

4. **Fig4 :Commonname:** Raccoon

Scientific name: *procyonlotor*

5. **Fig 5 :Common name:** American hog-nosed skunk

Scientific name:*conepatusleuconotus*

6. **Fig 6 :Common name:**Nine-banded armadillo

Scientific name: *Dasypusnovemcintus*

7. **Fig 7 :Common name:** White-winged Doves

   Scientific name: *Zenaida asiática*

8. **Fig 8 :Common name:**Lowland paca

   Scientific name: *cuniculos paca*

9. **Fig9 :Commonname:**Tricolored heron

   Scientific name: *Egretta tricolor*

Discussion

The information collected with camera traps in the rambutan agroecosystem shows a medium-low biodiversity in the municipality of Huehuetan, Chiapas. We found species such as the White-tailed Deer (*Odocoileus virginianus Zimmermann*), Gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteusSchreber*), Virginia Opossum (*Didelphis virginiana Allen*), Raccoon (*Procyon lotor Linnaeus*), American hog-nosed skunk (*Conepatusleuconotus Lichtenstein*), Nine-banded armadillo (*Dasypusnovemcinctus*), White-winged Doves (*Zenaida asiatica*), Lowland paca (*cuniculus paca*) and Tricolored heron (*Egretta tricolor*). The number of species found reflects a decrease in biodiversity in local populations. In neighboring regions with similar productive agroecosystems, a decrease in local animal diversity has been found due to different causes, ranging from urbanization to illegal hunting and, in some cases, species trafficking. "In the central region of Veracruz, there are studies that reveal the impoverishment of the fauna; they found that, in the last 27 years, the diversity of mammals in the Soconusco region and its surroundings, was reduced by 54% due to urbanization and agricultural activities" [36]. The results of the structured interviews indicate the presence of 16 species and nine of them are preferred by locals for hunting, which generates high hunting pressure. The decrease in wildlife populations is related to habitat destruction due to deforestation and excessive hunting [37]. The most abundant and preferred species for hunting are the Nine-banded armadillo (*Dasypusnovemcinctus*), European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), Virginia Opossum (*Didelphis virginiana Allen*), Collie´s squirrel (*Sciurus colliaei Richardson*), Raccoon (*Procyon lotor Linnaeus*), American hog-nosed skunk (*Conepatusleuconotus Lichtenstein*), Cacomistle (*Bassariscussumichrasti Saussure*), White-nosed coati (*Nasua narica Linnaeus*) and Central American agouti (*Dasyprocta punctata Gray*). The above list coincides with the information reported by Gallina [24]. In general, the species present in the ecosystem match with the results reported by García [38] and Gallina [23]. Traces of human hunters were identified in the study area by means of camera traps. The presence of anthropogenic activities such as firewood extraction and hunting could be having an impact on the decline of animal populations. Likewise, it was reported that the presence of other larger mammals, such as the Lowland paca (*cuniculus paca*)are no longer found in these ecosystems, preferring to settle in less populated places.

In addition to the reduction of fauna, hunting can lead to other effects on flora and fauna; as pointed out by Stoner [39] who evaluated the indirect effect of hunting for tropical forests and mention the negative effect on the plant and invertebrate community. Guards were implemented to try to talk and start a collaboration to teach the importance of biodiversity conservation in agroecosystems, reminding them that poaching is punishable by law, all hunters who were registered in the area, act illegally. It is necessary to continue studying the behavior of biodiversity in the study area to better understand the aspects of the biology of the species and the effect of anthropogenic activities and population growth, which has had to adapt along with the agroecosystems of the area, leading to a decrease in biodiversity due to the reduction of natural spaces.

CONCLUSION

The present work contributes to the knowledge of the rich biodiversity present in the rambutan agroecosystem. Despite the low density present in the area, we were able to register species with different degrees of threat within the agricultural environment of the area, adapting to live within the agroecosystem and maintaining a constant movement according to the population growth of the area.

The rambutan agroecosystem connects with different types of habitats in the landscape, providing local animals with the necessary conditions to generate feeding, shelter, rest, reproduction and breeding sites. According to what has been observed, population growth is negatively affecting the biodiversity of the area, forcing species to migrate to find new habitats, generating a change in the local wildlife, which leads to a possible natural imbalance that can have negative effects on the agroecosystems of the region.

Please follow the journal guideline and write in these order- Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, Competing Interests, Authors' Contributions, Consent (where applicable), Ethical approval (where aplicable).

- Add the Table of species and describe the diversity.
- Images of Animal species are not suitable for Article.(Add better quality images & size,arrange it properly and add figure number)
- Relative abundance is mentioned in Abstract but not proper description in Article.
- Your Study is focusing on Mammals but you also add the photos of bird please justify it.

REFERENCES

[1] Carrillo E, Wong G, Cuarón A. 2000. Monitoringmammalpopulations in Costa Ricanprotectedareasunderdifferenthuntingrestrictions. ConservationBiology.; 14 (6): 1580-1561

[2] Ojasti J. Neotropical wildlifemanagement. 2000. SI-MAB. Maryland. USA. 290 p.

[3] Sargeant G, Douglas J. 1997. Carnivorescent-stationsurveys: statisticalconsiderations. Proceedingsofthe North Dakota AcademyOfScience., 51: 102-104.

[4] Robinson, J. G. & Redford, K. H. 1994. MeasuringtheSustainabilityofHunting in Tropical Forest. Oryx, 28: 249-256.

[5] Aranda, J. M. 2000. Tracks and torso traces ofgrinds and medium-sizedmammalsofMexico. InstituteofEcology, A. C. Xalapa, Veracruz. Mexico. 212 pp.

[6] Walker, S., A. Novaro& J. Nichols . 2000. Considerationsforestimatingabundanceofmammalpopulations. Mastozool. Neotrop. 7: 73-80.

[7] Sutherland, W. 1996. EcologicalCensusTechniques. Cambridge University, Cambridge, Great Britain.

[8] Silveira, L. (2003). Camera trap, line transectcensus and tracksurveys: a comparativeevaluation. BiologicalConservation, 114(3), 351–355. doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(03)00063-6

[9] Pinto de Sá Alves, L.C. & A. Adriel. 2005. Camera trapsusedonthemastofaunalsurveyofAreasBiological Reserve, IEF-RJ. Rev. Bras. Zootecn . 2: 231-246.

[10] Monroy-Vilchis O, Rangel-Cordero H, Aranda M, Velázquez A, Romero F. 1999. Mammalsoftemperatehabitats in thesouthernBasinofMexico. Biodiversityofthemountainregionfromthesouthofthe BasinofMexico. MinistryoftheEnvironment. Mexico. pp: 141-159.

[11] Srbek-Araujo, A.C. & A. García. 2005. Is camera trappinganefficientmethodforsurveyingmammals in Neotropical forests? A case study in south-easternBrazil. J. Trop. Ecol. 21: 121-125.

[12] Kelly, M. J., Betsch, J., Wultsch, C., Mesa, B., & Mills, L. S. 2012. Noninvasivesamplingforcarnivores. In L. Boitani, & R. A. Powell (Eds.), Carnivoreecology and conservation: a handbookoftechniques (pp. 47-69). New York: Oxford UniversityPress.

[13] Rowcliffe J.M., J. Field, S.T. Turvey& C. Carbone. 2008. Estimating animal densityusing camera trapswithouttheneedfor individual recognition. JournalofAppliedEcology. 45:1228-1236. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652664.2008.01473.x.

[14] McCallum J. 2013. Changing use of camera traps in mammalianfieldresearch: habitats, taxa and studytypes. MammalReviews. 43(3):196–206. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2907.2012.00216.x

[15] Tlapaya, L. & Gallina, S. 2010. Huntingofmedium-sizedmammals in coffeeplantations in the center ofVeracruz ,Mexico. MexicanZoologicalAct (n. s.), 26: 259-277.

[16] Fraire, V. G. 2001. Rambutan: Analternativeforfruitproduction in thehumidtropicsofMexico. NationalInstituteofForestry, Agricultural and LivestockResearch (INIFAP) - Rosario Izapa Experimental Field, Chiapas. TechnicalBrochure No. 1. Tuxtla Chico, Chiapas. 34 p.

[17] Sosa, V. J., Hernández-Salazar, E., Hernández-Conrique, D. and Castro-Luna, A. A. 2008. Small and medium-sizedmammals. In R. H. Manson, V. Hernández-Ortiz, S. Gallina and K. Mehltreker (Eds.), Coffeeagroecosystems in Veracruz: biodiversity, management and conservation (pp. 181–191). Mexico City: InstituteofEcology, NationalInstituteofEcology.

[18] Avendaño-Arrazate C.H., Arévalo-Galarza L., Sandoval-Esquivez A. and CaballeroPérez, J.F. 2011. Rambutan (Nepheliunlappaucem) a cropwithwideexploitationpotential in southernMexico. AgroproductividadJournal 2(4) : 9-17.

[19] Moguel, P. & Toledo, V. M. 1998. Biodiversityconservation in traditionalcoffeesystemsofMexico. ConservationBiology, 13: 11-21.

[20] Perfecto, I., A. Mas, T. Dietsch and J. Vandermeer. 2003. Conservationofbiodiversity in coffeeagroecosystems: a tri-taxacomparison in southernMexico. Biodiversity and Conservation, 12: 1239-1252.

[21] Williams-Guillén, K. and I. Perfecto. 2010. Effectsofagriculturalintensificationontheassemblageofleaf-nosedbats (Phyllostomidae) in a coffeelandscape in Chiapas, Mexico. Biotropica, 42: 605-613.

[22] Williams-Linera, G., López-Gómez, A. M. & Muñiz -Castro, M. A. 2005. Complementarity and nestingpatternsoftreespecies in thecloudforestlandscapeof central Veracruz, Mexico. Pages 153-164

[23] Gallina, S., A. González-Romero, A. . and R. Man- son. 2008. Small and medium-sizedmammals. Pp. 161-180. In Manson, R.H., V. Hernández Ortíz., S. Gallina and K. Mehltreter (Eds.). Coffeeagroecosystemsof Veracruz: biodiversity, management and conservation. INECOL INE-SEMARNAT. 348 pp.

[24] Gallina, S., S. Mandujano & A. González–Romero. 1996. Conservationofmammalianbiodiversity in coffeeplantationof central Veracruz, Mexico. AgroforestrySystems, 33:13–17.

[25] Aguilar-Ortiz, F. 1982. Ecologicalstudyofcoffeeplantationbirds. Pp. 103-128. In Jiménez Ávila, E., A. Gómez-Pompa (Eds.). Agroecologicalstudiess in thecoffee agro-ecosystem. NationalInstituteofBioticResourcesResearch. Mexico City: Continental Publishing Company, S.A. de C.V

[26] Bodmer, R.E. 1995). ManagingAmazonianWildlife: BiologicalCorrelatesofGameChoicebyDetribalized Hunters. EcologicalApplications, 5: 872-877. https://doi.org/10.2307/2269338

[27] Cruz-Lara, L.E., C. Lorenzo, L. Soto, E. Naranjo and N. Ramírez-Marcial. 2004. Mammaldiversity in coffeeplantations and mid-forestoftheravinesoftheLacandonjungle, Chiapas, Mexico. Acta Zoológica Mexicana (n.s), 20: 63-81.

[28] INEGI. 2020. Statistical and geographicyearbookof Chiapas. NationalInstituteofStatistics and Geography.

[29] Aranda, M. 2012. Manual for tracking wild mammalsofMexico. NationalCommissionfortheknowledge and use ofbiodiversity. CONABIO. 1st edition. Mexico. 255 pp.

[30] Wilson, E. and Reeder, D. 2005. MammalSpeciesoftheWorld. A Taxonomic and Geographic Reference. Johns Hopkins UniversityPress (3rd ed), 2,142 pp. URL:www.departments.bucknell.edu/biology/resource/msw3

[31] Ramírez-Pulido, J., González-Ruiz, N., Gardner, A. and Arroyo-Cabrales, J. 2014. ListofRecentLandMammalsofMexico. SpecialPublicationsMuseumof Texas TechUniversity. 63:1-69.

[32] O'Brien, T., Kinnaird, M. &Wibisono, H. 2003. Crouchingtigers, hiddenprey: Sumatrantiger and preypopulations in a tropical landscape. Anim. Conserv. 6:131-139.

[33] Maffei, L., Cuellar E. &Noss, J. 2002. Use of camera trapsfortheassessmentofmammals in the Chaco-Chiquitaníaecotone. Rev. Bol. Ecol. 11: 55-65.

[34] Monroy-Vilchis, O., C. Rodríguez-Soto. M. Zarco-González & V. Urios. 2009. Cougar and jaguar habitat use and activitypatterns in Central Mexico. Anim. Biol. 59: 145-157.

[35] Monroy-Vilchis, O., Zarco-González, M., Rodríguez-Soto, C., Soria-Díaz, L. and Urios, V. 2011. Photo-trappingofmammals in the Sierra Nanchititla, Mexico: relativeabundance and activitypattern. Rev. Biol. Trop. 59: 1: 373-383.

[36] González–Romero, A. & C. A. López–González. 1993. Preliminaryrecognitionofthemastofaunaassociatedwiththesuburbanareasof Xalapa and Coatepec. Pp. 223–243. In: I. R. López–Moreno (Eds). Urban ecologyappliedtothecityof Xalapa. InstituteofEcology, A. C. and H. City Council of Xalapa, Veracruz.

[37] Dirzo, R. & A. Miranda. 1991. Alteredpatternofherbivory and diversity in theforestunderstorydefaunation. pp. 273–287. In: P.P. Prince, T.M. Lewinshon, G.W. Fernandez& W.W. Benson (Eds). Plant–animal interactions: evolutionaryecology in tropical and temperateregions. Wiley, N.Y.

[38] Garcia, B. 2007. Comparisonoftherichnessofmedium-sizedmammals in a gradientofcoffeeplantationmanagement in central Veracruz. Master'sThesis. InstituteofEcology, A.C. Xalapa, Veracruz, Mexico.

[39] Stoner, E.K., K. Vulinec, J.S. Wright & C.A. Peres. 2007. Hunting and plantcommunitydynamics in tropical forests: A synthesis and futuredirections. Biotropica, 39(3): 385–397.

Most relevante references for these study –

1. Palsbøll, P. J., Berube, M., & Allendorf, F. W. (2007). Identification of management units using population genetic data. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, *22*(1), 11-16.
2. Brown, J. H., Mehlman, D. W., & Stevens, G. C. (1995). Spatial variation in abundance. *Ecology*, *76*(7), 2028-2043.