

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12771
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare and Medicine
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it highlights the rapid advancements of AI in healthcare, particularly in medical imaging and decision-making. It addresses key challenges such as ethical concerns, data scarcity, and regulatory issues while emphasizing AI's potential to enhance clinical workflows. 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By providing insights into recent research and deployment trends, it contributes to the ongoing discussion on AI's role in improving healthcare efficiency and precision. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title "Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare and Medicine" is appropriate but quite broad.</p> <p>Suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ "Advancements and Challenges of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare and Medicine" ➤ "The Evolving Role of AI in Healthcare: Innovations, Challenges, and Future Directions" 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive but could be more structured and concise. It should briefly highlight real-world applications, regulatory aspects, and AI's impact on healthcare efficiency. Redundant details, such as repeated investment statistics, should be removed. Additionally, ethical and technological challenges should be specified, including bias and data privacy. Refining the conclusion for clarity and impact would further strengthen the abstract.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically accurate, covering AI advancements, applications, and challenges in healthcare. However, it should ensure all claims are backed by recent references, clearly define AI limitations, maintain consistency in terminology, and provide precise regulatory insights. Strengthening these aspects will enhance its scientific rigor.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are generally sufficient, but some may need updating to include recent studies (2021–2024) to reflect current advancements. Additional references on emerging AI technologies, explainable AI, and recent reviews in healthcare would strengthen the manuscript. Consider adding studies on regulatory challenges, AI in medical imaging, and healthcare efficiency.</p>	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is suitable for scholarly communication, but simplifying long sentences and ensuring consistency in grammar and terminology would improve clarity and readability.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript provides valuable insights but could benefit from improved structure and clarity. Including more recent references and expanding on real-world applications would strengthen it. Addressing these points would enhance its impact and readability.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veera Boopathy E
Department, University & Country	Karpagam Institute Of Technology, India