

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12731
Title of the Manuscript:	Karyotyping in Forestry Species: A Vital Tool for Genetic and Conservation Studies
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Biotechnological tools hold importance for animal as well as plant based research. Karyotyping is an important cytogenetic method for study of evolutionary, phylogenetic as well as conservation based study. The theme of manuscript is contemporary and useful for forest conservation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract should be able to give us an insight about the content of the article. Unfortunately author has failed to cover that aspect in the abstract. Ideally he could add atleast 5-6 sentence describing the article via his abstract starting like..."Current article deals with....." . Abstract is the zist of any manuscript so it is advised to author to rewrite abstract covering the matter of the manuscript .</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>More references need to be added</p>	

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality needs improvisation.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p><u>NOTE- please find the edited manuscript with corrections suggested at the end of the document</u></p> <p><u>Reviewer's comment</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Honestly the manuscript is not very appealing and there is lot of scope to make it more readable and informative. 2. The manuscript needs to be improvised with respect to grammar, language and content. 3. This article is a review article, so I advise to enhance few more subheadings to the article like "Protocols of common karyotypic methods used in forestry", "Future roadmaps", "Constraints and scope in the feasibility of the method". 4. The Subheading "Method" could be more clearly written by taking one method of karyotyping at a time under the subheading. And if the content is kept brief, it could be in tabular form. 5. A good review has maximum reference articles cited. So more number of research articles/ Scientific article could be read and then accordingly included in the content of the article such that number of recent references would increase. 6. By incorporating point number 5, the article will become more informative thereby increasing the citation value of the article. 7. Content under the subheading "APPLICATIONS" is 	

	<p>written very badly. Please rewrite it. Also I could see that this subheading had more to do with review of literature rather the application. So please edit and improvise the section to fit to subheading “Applications or change the title of subheading.</p> <p>8. Please incorporate the suggestions mentioned in document MS_JSRR_129955 which have been suggested as reviewer’s comment on the right in comment boxes throughout the document.</p> <p>FINAL DECISION- Article could be accepted conditionally only after above mentioned comments, 1-10 are incorporated and the article is edited in accordance to above instructions.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nandani Kumari
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry, Birsa Agricultural University, India