Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12715
Title of the Manuscript:	Total Phenol and Flavonoid Content and Antioxidant Activity of Extract Caulerpa racemosa with Methanol and Ethyl Acetate Solvents from Usaha Jaya Village, Raja Ampat Regency, Southwest Papua Province
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, the manuscript is well written and contains a lot of important data and useful information about biological activities of Extract <i>Caulerpa racemosa</i> for readers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate.	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is written properly according to instructions of the journal. At the end of the abstract, in one sentence the author should connect the significance of this research with the journal's scope	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript is scientifically, correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent. However, authors should check the reference list. Somewhere they wrote the full name of a journal somewhere abbreviations. It should be correct according to journal instructions.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is ok.	
Optional/General comments	Dear Editor, It was a pleasure to read a manuscript entitled "Total Phenol and Flavonoid Content and Antioxidant Activity of Extract Caulerpa racemosa with Methanol and Ethyl Acetate Solvents from Usaha Jaya Village, Raja Ampat Regency, Southwest Papua Province". Overall, the manuscript is well written and contains a lot of important data and useful information for readers. In my opinion, the manuscript could be	
	published in Your reputable journal after a few minimal corrections. Abstract is written properly according to instructions of the journal. At the end of the abstract, in one sentence the author should connect the significance of this research with the journal's scope. In my opinion, the Introduction is well written and slowly introduces readers to the research topic. The part Materials and Methods is written down in a very detailed way. I propose here adding a Voucher number for Caulerpa racemosa.	
	Results are described correctly and briefly and clearly shown in figures and tables. Discussion is correctly written. The author explains the obtained results and compares them with the results of other researchers, as far as possible. The Conclusion should be extended (the significance of this paper should be related with the journal's scope). References: Authors should check the reference list. Somewhere they wrote the full name of a journal somewhere abbreviations. It should be correct according to journal instructions.	

Technical errors When you first write the names of species should be written in full and further when they reappear in the text should be written in abbreviations (<i>C. racemosa</i>). For all decimal numbers in the text, tables and figures, write a point and not a comma (for example 3.25 instead of 3,25). In my opinion, the quality of this work is above average. After the recommended changes made I suggest this manuscript to	
the recommended changes made, I suggest this manuscript to be published in Asian Journal of Current Research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with
		reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is
		mandatory that authors should write his/her
		feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marijana Kosanić
Department, University & Country	University of Kragujevac, Serbia