

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12691
Title of the Manuscript:	The Medicinal and Nutritional Potential of Guava Leaves: A Natural Remedy for Health and Wellness
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its an informative and review article. It need some more evidances to boost the review and significance of Guava leaves. General dosage or quantity for day or duration is not specified in this article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Anstarct is not constructive and key words are missing.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, but some more information must be added.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Gramitical errors are there. Kindly check it once.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nothing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raja Kumar Parabathina
Department, University & Country	Institute of Biosciences & Technology, MGM University, India