

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Disease and Global Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JODAGH_12710
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF LIPID PARAMETERS IN TESTOSTERONE-INDUCED BENIGN PROSTATE HYPERPLASIA IN ALBINO RATS TREATED WITH PLANTAIN ROOT EXTRACT
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Benign prostate hyperplasia in male is one of the medical condition like pregnancy in female life. In the life span most probably male will encounter with the symptoms of BPH early or after in increasing age, Therefore preventive measure of BPH is the need of the present era. The reviewed study may be help full to put a light on the area which has not much studied, the role of lipids in the presentation of BPH. So it can be ignition or pilot the future study in the area of blood parameters to know relevance of blood parameters in the development of BPH.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>YES- it but It may have better understanding with study design</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Abstract addressing the all content , but objective statement and finding statement are opposing. So you should check once what was your objective.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	ARRIVE guidelines not fulfilled. Some of the points are missing. Mentioned in paper	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	YES	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Neeraj Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Swaminarayan University, India</b>