

# Optimizing Business Processes Using Machine Learning

**Abstract**—This research aims to examine how the application of ML has revolutionized business processes specifically on process mining, predictive analysis, clustering, and classification. By applying ML algorithms, organizations can find out the bottlenecks, optimize processes, and improve decision-making, resulting in impressive improvements in productivity. The research shows that process mining and its integration with ML reveals bottlenecks and that predictive analytics optimises scheduling and resources. The clustering and classification methods also enhance the dynamic segmentation of the workflow and the relevant decision support. Issues like data quality, scalability and the ethical issues are discussed in detail and the future research directions are given which include real time analysis and integration with IoT. The results indicate that ML has the ability to transform operations management, providing managerial implications for organisations and research opportunities for academics. As such, this research increases the understanding of using ML-based optimization in modern business innovation, which is a rapidly developing field.

**Keywords:** Machine Learning, Business Process Optimization, Process Mining, Predictive Analytics, Workflow Segmentation, Clustering, Classification, Operational Efficiency, Resource Allocation, Real-Time Analytics.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The world of business as we know it is rapidly changing, and businesses are changing with the tide. While businesses are changing, businesses still attempt to ensure the efficiency and cost effectiveness of their business operation, hence

business process optimisation. Moreover, in a competitive business environment, organisations face constant pressure to enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and deliver superior value to customers [1]. A critical strategy that has emerged for achieving these goals is business process optimisation (BPO) which works by identifying inefficiencies and improving workflows. As technological advancements redefine operational landscapes, machine learning (ML) has become a pivotal tool in transforming how organizations approach optimization [2]. ML, a subset of artificial intelligence (AI), leverages data-driven algorithms to uncover patterns, make predictions, and automate decision-making, offering unprecedented opportunities to enhance business operations [3]. In the case of BPO the use of ML is diverse and includes tasks such as process mining, predictive analysis, and workflow management. Due to its capacity to process huge volumes of data and provide useful recommendations, ML helps companies detect inefficiencies, optimize the distribution of resources, and predict future trends with high accuracy. ML-powered predictive models can improve scheduling, demand forecasting, and other repetitive activities, which in turn will minimize cost and increase efficiency. In addition, other complex ML methods, including clustering and classification, enhance segmentation of the work processes and help in decision-making by offering optimal solutions to operational issues [4,5,6].

However, there are technical and organizational challenges to adopt and incorporate ML into business processes such as data quality and availability because most ML systems depend on large, accurate, and clean data for analysis [7]. Another important factor is scalability, since organizations need to be sure that ML solutions can accommodate increasing operational loads without

decreased performance [8]. Further, the coordination of data scientists, IT teams, and business leaders was required to ensure that ML strategies are aligned with the organization's objectives.

To this end, this paper explores how machine learning can enhance business processes by analyzing the following applications: process mining (PM), predictive analysis, and work flow segmentation. This paper seeks to use real-world examples and discuss issues in the optimization of ML-based technologies to demonstrate the potential of such technologies. This research also presents future research directions, noting that further advancements in ML applications require new methods to unlock its potential for business processes. By doing so, this work aims to show how organisations can gain sustainable competitive advantages by using machine learning to enhance their operations.

## II. LEVERAGING PROCESS MINING TO IDENTIFY BOTTLENECKS

### A. Overview of Process Mining Techniques

According to [9], processes come in a variety of forms, from the fully ad hoc, unstructured flows that are driven by people via phone and email to the more conventional, rigid processes that are modelled and operated under the supervision of a rigorous workflow management system. Less rigid procedures are frequently referred to as semi-structured. The systems that underpin today's nimble and globally dispersed enterprises are expanding steadily and producing a lot of events. As a result, process mining becomes necessary since it bridges the gap between data analytics and conventional business process management. By collecting knowledge from the execution traces of processes, process mining aims to identify, track, and enhance processes [9]. ProM, created by academics at Eindhoven University, is an example of an open source process mining algorithm [9].

Process mining is based on the log data that is created by information systems and offers an objective and factual picture of how processes are performed in organizations [10]. Process mining methodologies primarily fall into three categories: discovery, conformance and improvement. Discovery entails the generation of process models exclusively from event logs that show the real-life processes in an organisation. Conformance compares the actual process with the defined model

and determines the differences and gaps. Finally, enhancement aims at refining processes by incorporating further information into the existing models as time parameters or resource usage data [11], [12].

Although attributed as a seminal research field by [11], process mining has been used in hospitals mostly to understand how the health information system (HIS) will support the process execution—the HIS (compound of databases, systems, procedures, events, etc.) records every action taken by a doctor, nurse, technician, or other hospital resource to provide care for a patient. Event logs are used to document activities for control, support, and future analysis. Process models are developed to critically examine the process design or to outline the sequence in which various health workers are expected to carry out their tasks within a particular process.

Sadly, despite its plethora of analytical capabilities, traditional process mining has limitations, particularly in handling large, dynamic datasets and making predictive insights. This is where the integration of machine learning emerges as a transformative advancement, enabling organizations to transcend descriptive analytics and adopt predictive and prescriptive frameworks.

### B. Machine Learning Approaches in Process Mining

Also referred to as hybrid approach in [13], machine learning (ML) enhances the process mining by automating analysis, dealing with complex structures of data, and making predictive analysis. Anomaly detection is one of its significant benefits; autoencoders, isolation forests, and clustering algorithms help to determine that the process deviates from the norm. These could be signs of inefficiencies, compliance issues or potential failures that business can then prevent. Further, supervised learning methods are used for predictive modeling where the results are estimated using past data and organizations can predict the likelihood of bottlenecks, estimate time taken for processes, or allocate resources optimally [14],[15],[16].

The second area where ML improves PM is process prediction. Decision trees or deep learning algorithms allow organizations to predict what will happen next in a process or a probable result of a process. For instance, ML can forecast late delivery of products by using transactional data and establish correlations that cause delays in delivery.

Furthermore, reinforcement learning has been recently integrated into the management of dynamic environments to provide recommendations for improving the process based on its performance [17],[18].

The complementarity of ML and process mining also stems from the fact that they can operate on unstructured or semi-structured data, e.g., textual descriptions of processes or data from sensors of IoT devices. This versatility enables businesses to take the application of process mining to another level by extending it to other industries, including healthcare and logistics.

In [19], COVID-19 patient death was predicted via process mining. In order to forecast mortality, the process mining/deep learning model integrated clinical and demographic data and generated temporal information pertaining to the variables. Over the first 72 hours following hospital admission, the mortality prediction was updated at 6-hour intervals. Additionally, the model's performance was evaluated against both self-developed and published classical machine learning models that did not take time into account. Accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and the Area Under the Receiver Operator Curve (AUROC) were used to compare the performance. With a robust AUROC above 80% on an unbalanced dataset, the suggested process mining/deep learning model performed better than the comparison models in nearly every time interval.

An additional example in [1] is the multinational e-commerce company Amazon, which extensively used machine learning to customise user experiences. The recommendation algorithm examines demographic information, browsing patterns, and past purchases. Amazon uses sophisticated algorithms to make product recommendations based on user preferences. With a sizable portion of transactions attributable to suggestions, this proved effective in raising user satisfaction and made a big contribution to the platform's sales.

However, these advancements have their challenges such as the availability of high-quality training data, computational power and integration with existing systems. The elimination of these barriers is possible only if there is a strong strategic approach that combines technical and business skills.

### III. PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS FOR ENHANCED DECISION-MAKING

#### A. Predictive Models for Scheduling Optimization

Scheduling is an essential component of operations since it determines the level of productivity, resources, and customers' satisfaction [20],[21]. Scheduling accuracy is a revolutionary area where machine learning (ML) models are used to predict historical and real-time data to improve scheduling precision. Linear and polynomial regression models are basic in scheduling and offer forecasts on the duration of tasks and resources needed [22]. This was done by [23] where polynomial regression was carried out to estimate cost for a business. The result also found that polynomial regression was more effective than linear regression because it achieved very high coefficient of determination. [24] have pointed out that although these models are useful for simple and linear relationships in stable contexts, they are not very useful in complex and dynamic settings.

However, neural networks overcome these weaknesses by capturing complex patterns in the data sets and, therefore, are useful in predicting schedules in conditions of high variability. RNNs and LSTMs are particularly suitable for time series prediction, and are therefore useful in industries where delays and interdependent tasks are common [25], [26]. Gradient boosting and random forests are other reliable methods of decision trees that provide understandable predictions and determine crucial scheduling factors [27].

The type of ML model to be used therefore depends on the operational context. Even though regression models are easy to compute and are best for small-scale problems, neural networks are suitable for large complex problems but are computationally intensive. Due to this trade-off, there is a need for ensuring that there is a balance between precision and reality. Furthermore, synchronizing ML models in real-time data feeds like IoT devices or ERP platforms enhances scheduling optimisation while making sure predictions are current as conditions change. Such interconnectedness necessitates the business targeting approaches to optimise the use of predictive analytics in scheduling.

#### B. Resource Allocation Using Machine Learning Techniques

Effective resource management is the cornerstone of operational effectiveness, meaning that the resources including labour, machinery and stock, must be used efficiently [28]. Heuristic methods have been replaced with advanced decision-making

tools and optimization algorithms that are brought by machine learning techniques. The most common of these is the linear programming method, which can be complemented by predictive information from machine learning [29]. Other techniques, for instance, RL, have been found to be more effective in resource allocation. RL algorithms update their allocation decisions based on feedback obtained in a given environment and hence improve on allocation decisions over time [30]. For instance, in supply chain management, one of the critical issues is stochastic sequential decision-making problems, to which RL can provide the optimal distribution of tasks between workers and robotic systems with minimal standstill and maximum productivity increase [31]. Likewise, genetic algorithms that are based on natural selection have been used in resource allocation problems, especially in situations that involve multi-objective decision-making, such as the cost and time in logistics [32]. This was further explored by [32] who proposed genetic algorithms (GA) to assist logistics managers in deciding about the most optimal pattern of stacking items in storage locations in storage racks. The obtained model indicated that GA is an effective means for solving the storage assignment problems either in terms of optimization with regard to one or another criterion (time, risk of injury, energy) or in terms of searching for the common optimal solution.

However, the integration of the ML-based resource allocation models is not without some problems. Large datasets, random variations in the operational environment, and real-time decision-making calls for strong computational support and clean datasets [33]. However, the advantages of using ML in resource allocation outweigh the challenges experienced, especially when compared to conventional approaches. Traditional methods are rigid and fail to incorporate the dynamic environment into their strategies and planning. In contrast, ML models continuously learn and adapt, enabling dynamic and context-aware allocation strategies. This adaptability positions ML as a critical tool for achieving sustainable operational excellence.

#### IV. CLUSTERING AND CLASSIFICATION IN OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

##### A. Clustering for Workflow Segmentation and Prioritization

Clustering algorithms are one of the most important tools of unsupervised learning, which allow to find

the natural clusters in the data [34]. One of the most popular methods is k-means clustering that divides data into clusters where the variance between the points in a cluster is at its minimum and the variance between the clusters is at its maximum. This algorithm works in a way that it assigns the data points to the closest centroid and then recomputes the centroids until some stopping criterion is met [35]. For more hierarchical structures, hierarchical clustering is a possibility, forming nested clusters that offer information about data organization in different levels of detail [36].

In operations management, clustering is especially helpful in the process of segmentation of the work flow. Some of the benefits of clustering include; It is possible to cluster tasks based on their characteristics or time of completion and this can help in task assignment and scheduling [37]. When work is divided into segments, it becomes easier to notice gaps and improve the flow of work in an organization. For example, grouping production tasks by machine type or by the level of skill needed to perform them can lower the setup time and increase output. Likewise, in healthcare, grouping patient's activities by diagnosis or treatment type improves the scheduling and resource management.

Selecting the clustering algorithm to apply then, depends on the nature of the dataset and the business environment. Although k-means is fast in terms of computation, it is highly dependent on the initial choices of the centroids and also it presupposes that all the clusters are of spherical forms. While hierarchical clustering is flexible in the shapes of clusters, it can be problematic with large data sets due to the complexity of computations. This trade-off shows that the choice of algorithms should be made based on the operational objectives, to achieve the best segmentation of work flows and prioritization of clusters. The next determining factor, based on context is the classification model.

##### B. Classification Models for Decision Support Systems

Classification models are one of the most important topics in machine learning because they aim at sorting data into pre-defined classes. Among these, decision trees are non-parametric supervised learning, and highly interpretable models that split data hierarchically based on the feature values to create clear decision paths [38]. However, if the

decision boundaries are more complicated, then the support vector machines (SVMs) which belong to supervised learning techniques based on statistical learning theory outperform other algorithms by maximizing the margin between the data points of two or more classes in the high dimensional space.

In decision support systems, these models offer information to improve the decision making in operations. Since decision trees are easy to understand, they are especially useful where interpretability is crucial, for example, when searching for quality problems in production [39]. SVMs on the other hand are best suited for high accurate models such as the detection of fraud in the financial transactions. SVMs reduce risks and guarantee compliance by sorting the received data into normal and anomalous classes [40].

The comparative strengths of these models underline the importance of context-specific deployment. Decision trees, while easy to interpret, may overfit when faced with noisy data. Techniques like pruning can mitigate this, but their simplicity may still limit scalability. SVMs, though more accurate in complex datasets, require careful parameter tuning and are computationally intensive, which can impede real-time decision-making. As such, combining classification models with ensemble methods, such as random forests or boosting algorithms, as was done in [41], proved to offer a balanced approach, improving robustness and accuracy in decision support systems.

### C. Use Cases: Improving Operational Efficiency with Clustering and Classification

In the case of retail industry, clustering has been used for classification of customers. Applying the k-means [34] analysis showed that businesses were able to segment their customers according to their purchasing behaviour for targeted marketing that helped retain the customers as well as improve on their revenues. This is in contrast to other forms of segmentation which are normally based on more or less stable demographic variables and are not capable of capturing new and emerging patterns of consumer behavior.

In manufacturing, [42] used clustering and classification to categorize the German enterprises according to the incentives for reshoring. They were able to distinguish five clusters using k-means

clustering and intra-class variance analysis to support strategic decisions. Targets which were identified as high priority for reshoring included enterprises that are sustainability and innovation oriented. This demonstrated the interaction between clustering and classification methods in solving various operational issues.

These techniques also present a lot of benefits to healthcare as well. In hospital environments, clustering is used to partition the patients' process by the type of treatment they receive in order to avoid congestion or overcrowding of particular areas. In [43], a private hospital employed secure K-mean clustering for workflow optimization by means of a new cryptographic approach known as Secure Multi-Party Computation in which an extra party that the staff members can trust, like a labour union, manages the staff data. While the proposed model demonstrated high accuracy on test data and was implementable for real life scenario, it was not applied to real time data due to data availability, one of the issues that are inherent to ML and human data.

## V. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN ML-BASED OPTIMIZATION

### A. Data Quality and Availability Issues

When tested with test data, [43] model for hospitals showed positive feasibility in improving optimisation and workflow management. However, this model was not tested with real-life data because of data protection acts. These are some of the challenges ML faces in improving accuracy. Machine learning models rely on data quality and access while data quality and access are often problematic. It is noisy data where there are so many errors or inconsistencies that results in poor performance of the model, hence poor prediction. In the same way, noisy data are problematic for learning, especially if important attributes are absent or rare. These are made worse by data silos that see information locked in departments or systems, thus hindering the integration and analysis needed for ML.

Solving these issues involves strong data preprocessing methodologies, for instance normalization, missing data handling, and outlier detection. Furthermore, the clans of data silos can be addressed by encouraging data sharing across departments within an organization as well as by

investing in a centralized data platform. Though these steps enhance the quality of the data and make it more available, it is critical to notice that for the best results to be realized, the process should be monitored and adjusted in the long run, thus the need to embrace long term data management strategies.

#### B. Scalability and Implementation Challenges

The transition from using ML solutions in pilot projects to deploying them across an organization has challenges technically and organizationally. From a technical perspective, the requirements for processing large data sets and, in particular, deep learning, can put a burden on the infrastructure and add expense. Additionally, making sure that the ML solutions are efficient in the face of continuously increasing data volumes and system operational complexity is critical and is achieved through proper system design and sound scaling techniques [44].

At the organisational level there may be resistance to change and lack of technical know how. Some of the challenges include; employees reluctance to embrace new technologies, lack of training or resources to implement the insights generated by ML [45]. These barriers can only be addressed by a systems approach that involves both infrastructure development and change management. ML's value proposition and how it works with the organization to ensure that solutions are implemented to support organizational objectives should be well communicated.

#### C. Future Research Opportunities

The future of ML-based optimization lies in addressing current limitations and exploring novel applications. Real-time analytics represents a promising frontier, enabling organizations to respond to dynamic operational conditions instantaneously. For example, integrating ML with IoT devices can facilitate real-time process monitoring, enhancing decision-making precision. Ethical considerations also warrant further investigation. As ML models become more pervasive, ensuring fairness, transparency, and accountability in decision-making processes is critical. Developing frameworks that address these concerns will not only improve public trust but also foster more sustainable adoption of ML technologies.

Finally, interdisciplinary research bridging ML with emerging technologies like quantum

computing and edge AI could unlock unprecedented optimization capabilities. These advancements, coupled with ongoing efforts to address scalability and data quality challenges, position ML as a transformative force in operational management.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

The adoption of ML in business processes means a new paradigm shift in operational management, which is distinguished by increased effectiveness, predictive ability, and creativity. This paper has illustrated how various areas of ML, including process mining, predictive analysis, clustering and classification, are critical in discovering areas of inefficiency, resource utilization and decision-making. Thus, organizations can move beyond previously set constraints, get dynamic workflow segmentation, accurate scheduling, and better decision support systems through ML algorithms. The resulting changes highlight the potential of ML to revolutionise business processes and improve efficiency, drive down expenses and enhance flexibility in a challenging environment.

These findings also have implications beyond the application of these theories to business and therefore present a rich area for academic research. To the businesses, incorporation of ML technologies promotes use of big data in decision making and makes it respond to various operational issues. ML steps up mundane tasks and gives human resources timely alerts, which in turn allows HR to be more of a strategic profession. In the academic world, the multi-disciplinary character of ML offers vast areas for future research in areas like ethical artificial intelligence, real-time analysis, and how to incorporate innovative technologies like IoT and edge computing. The issue of data quality, scalability of the solutions, and the ethical use of the ML solutions will require research and development efforts from both the academia and industry partners.

Consequently, the era of ML in business optimization can be described as innovation, efficiency, and strategic vision. Accepting this technological change prepares organizations not only to meet the challenges of the contemporary business environment but also to drive changes in the way organizations operate.

#### VII. REFERENCES

- [1] J. Kumar Chaudhary, M. Aarif, N. R. Rao, R. Sobti, S. K. M.V, and M. L B, "Machine Learning

Strategies for Business Process Optimization,” *IEEE Xplore*, Dec. 01, 2023. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=10434915>

[2] A. B. Rashid and A. K. Kausik, “AI Revolutionizing Industries Worldwide: a Comprehensive Overview of Its Diverse Applications,” *Hybrid Advances*, vol. 7, no. 100277, pp. 100277–100277, Aug. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hybadv.2024.100277>.

[3] O. Badmus, N. Shahab, J. Babatope, and N. M. Williams, “AI-driven business analytics and decision making,” *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 616–633, Oct. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.1.3093>.

[4] A. M. Khedr and R. S. Sheeja, “Enhancing Supply Chain Management with Deep Learning and Machine Learning Techniques: A Review,” *Journal of Open Innovation Technology Market and Complexity*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 100379–100379, Sep. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2024.100379>.

[5] O. Al-Amin, None Chikezie Paul-Mikki Ewim, A. Ngochindo, and None Onyeka Chrisantus Ofodile, “AI-Driven end-to-end workflow optimization and automation system for SMEs,” *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 3666–3684, Nov. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i11.1688>.

[6] Abd Rahman, M.S., Jamaludin, N.A.A., Zainol, Z. and Sembok, T.M.T., “The Application of Decision Tree Classification Algorithm on Decision-Making for Upstream Business.,” *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 8, 2023.

[7] M. Tito, “A comparative analysis of good enterprise data management practices : insights from literature and artificial intelligence perspectives for business efficiency and effectiveness,” *laturi oulu.fi*, Jul. 27, 2023. <https://oulurepo oulu.fi/handle/10024/42423>

[8] A. S. Muneer, “Scalable Data Architectures: Key principles for building systems that efficiently manage growing data volumes and complexity | Journal of AI-Assisted Scientific Discovery,” *Journal of AI-Assisted Scientific Discovery*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2021, Available: <https://scienceacadpress.com/index.php/jaasd/article/view/219/208>

[9] G. T. Lakshmanan and R. Khalaf, “Leveraging Process-Mining Techniques,” *IT Professional*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 22–30, Sep. 2013, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/mitp.2012.88>.

[10] W. M. P. van der Aalst, “Process Mining: A 360 Degree Overview,” *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, pp. 3–34, 2022, doi: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-08848-3\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-08848-3_1).

[11] E. Rojas, J. Munoz-Gama, M. Sepúlveda, and D. Capurro, “Process mining in healthcare: A literature review,” *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 61, pp. 224–236, Jun. 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2016.04.007>.

[12] W. M. P. van der Aalst, “Process discovery from event data: Relating models and logs through abstractions,” *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, vol. 8, no. 3, p. e1244, Feb. 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1244>.

[13] A. Doshi, “Hybrid Machine Learning and Process Mining for Predictive Business Process Automation.,” *Journal of Science & Technology*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 42–52, 2022.

[14] Mahmood Almansoori and Miklós Telek, “Anomaly Detection using combination of Autoencoder and Isolation Forest,” pp. 25–30, Jan. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3311/wins2023-005>.

[15] Sai Teja Boppiniti, “Machine Learning for Predictive Analytics: Enhancing Data-Driven Decision-Making Across Industries,” *International Journal of Sustainable Development in Computing Science*, vol. 1, no. 3, 2019, Available: <https://www.ijstdcs.com/index.php/ijstdcs/article/view/586>

[16] Oluwafemi, G.O., Faith, R., Badmus, J. and Luz, H., “Machine Learning for Healthcare Resource Allocation.,” 2024.

[17] M. M. Bassiouni, R. K. Chakraborty, K. M. Sallam, and O. K. Hussain, “Deep learning approaches to identify order status in a complex supply chain,” *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 250, pp. 123947–123947, Sep. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.123947>.

[18] I. H. Sarker, “Machine Learning: Algorithms, Real-World Applications and Research Directions,” *SN Computer Science*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1–21, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00592-x>.

[19] M. Pishgar *et al.*, “A process mining- deep learning approach to predict survival in a cohort of hospitalized COVID-19 patients,” *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, vol. 22, no. 1,

- Jul. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-01934-2>.
- [20] K. Baber and Huzaifa Arsalan, "Maximizing Hospitality Industry Efficiency: AI Applications for Sustainability and Customer Satisfaction," May 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.30819.98080>.
- [21] P. Sharma, "Optimizing Production Scheduling in Manufacturing: Strategies and Technologies for Efficiency," *INTERANTIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT*, vol. 08, no. 05, pp. 1–5, May 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijrsrem32497>.
- [22] L. Suryani, "STRATEGIES FOR OPTIMIZING EFFICIENCY AND PRODUCTIVITY IN SCHEDULING COMPANY ACTIVITIES," *International Journal of Economy, Education and Entrepreneurship (IJE3)*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 140–147, Apr. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.53067/ije3.v4i1.243>.
- [23] O. Ajao, A. Abdullahi, and I. Raji, "Polynomial Regression Model of Making Cost Prediction In Mixed Cost Analysis," vol. 2, no. 2, p. 14, Jan. 2012, Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/264818488\\_Polynomial\\_Regression\\_Model\\_of\\_Making\\_Cost\\_Prediction\\_In\\_Mixed\\_Cost\\_Analysis](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/264818488_Polynomial_Regression_Model_of_Making_Cost_Prediction_In_Mixed_Cost_Analysis)
- [24] I. O. Ajao, A. O. Adaraniwon, and A. O. Ilugbusi, "Beyond Linearity: Harnessing Spline Regression Models to Capture Non-linear Relationships," *Ilorin Journal of Science*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 28–43, 2023, Accessed: Jan. 15, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://iljs.org.ng/index.php/iljs/article/view/208>
- [25] S. M. Al-Selwi *et al.*, "RNN-LSTM: From applications to modeling techniques and beyond—Systematic review," *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences*, vol. 36, no. 5, p. 102068, Jun. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2024.102068>.
- [26] Y. He, P. Huang, W. Hong, Q. Luo, L. Li, and K.-L. Tsui, "In-Depth Insights into the Application of Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) in Traffic Prediction: A Comprehensive Review," *Algorithms*, vol. 17, no. 9, p. 398, Sep. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/a17090398>.
- [27] J. Díaz-Ramírez, J. A. Estrada-García, and J. Figueroa-Sayago, "Predicting transport mode choice preferences in a university district with decision tree-based models," *City and Environment Interactions*, vol. 20, p. 100118, Dec. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cacint.2023.100118>.
- [28] W. He, W. Li, and W. Wang, "Developing a Resource Allocation Approach for Resource-Constrained Construction Operation under Multi-Objective Operation," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 13, p. 7318, Jun. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13137318>.
- [29] A. Emmanuel, S. Wilson, S. Adewale, and O. Taiwo, "Artificial Intelligence: A computational and Linear Programming approach," *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, vol. 8, no. 11, Nov. 2023, Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375929427\\_Artificial\\_Intelligence\\_A\\_computational\\_and\\_Linear\\_Programming\\_approach](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375929427_Artificial_Intelligence_A_computational_and_Linear_Programming_approach)
- [30] Z. Zhao, "A Comprehensive Review of Reinforcement Learning in Intelligent Allocation and Optimization of Educational Resources," vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 15–24, Dec. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70393/6a6374616d.323436>.
- [31] G. Wu, M. Á. de Carvalho Servia, and M. Mowbray, "Distributional reinforcement learning for inventory management in multi-echelon supply chains," *Digital Chemical Engineering*, vol. 6, p. 100073, Mar. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dche.2022.100073>.
- [32] B. Gajsek, G. Dukic, M. Kovacic, and M. Brezocnik, "A Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithms Approach for Modelling of Order Picking," *International Journal of Simulation Modelling*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 719–729, Dec. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2507/ijssimm20-4-582>.
- [33] A. Aldoseri, K. N. A. - Khalifa, and A. M. Hamouda, "Re-Thinking Data Strategy and Integration for Artificial Intelligence: Concepts, Opportunities, and Challenges," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 7082–7082, 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13127082>.
- [34] PILLI SRI DURGA, J. A. PAULSON, and MARRI SRINIVASAREDDY, "Customer segmentation analysis for improving sales using clustering," *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 708–715, Aug. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2023.9.2.0663>.
- [35] E. U. Oti, M. O. Olusola, F. C. Eze, and S. U. Enogwe, "Comprehensive Review of K-Means Clustering Algorithms," *International Journal of Advances in Scientific Research and Engineering*, vol. 07, no. 08, pp. 64–69, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.31695/ijasre.2021.34050>.

- [36] A. F. Siegel and M. R. Wagner, "Statistical (Machine) Learning," *Elsevier eBooks*, pp. 571–599, Jan. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-820025-4.00019-1>.
- [37] J. Lwin, "Enhancing Cloud Task Scheduling with Multi-Objective Optimization Using K-Means Clustering and Dynamic Resource Allocation," *Journal of Computing Theories and Applications*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 202–211, Oct. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.62411/jcta.11337>.
- [38] D. Packwood *et al.*, "Machine Learning in Materials Chemistry: An Invitation," *Machine Learning with Applications*, vol. 8, p. 100265, Jun. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mlwa.2022.100265>.
- [39] I. Rojek, A. Kujawińska, R. Burduk, and D. Mikołajewski, "Improving Process Control Through Decision Tree-Based Pattern Recognition," *Electronics*, vol. 13, no. 23, p. 4823, Dec. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13234823>.
- [40] Brown Klinton and P. Broklyn, "A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ANOMALY DETECTION TECHNIQUES FOR FRAUD DETECTION IN CLOUD ECOSYSTEMS," *Computer Fraud & Security*, Dec. 2024, Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386992645\\_A\\_COMPARATIVE\\_STUDY\\_OF\\_ANOMALY\\_DETECTION\\_TECHNIQUES\\_FOR\\_FRAUD\\_DETECTION\\_IN\\_CLOUD\\_ECOSYSTEMS](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386992645_A_COMPARATIVE_STUDY_OF_ANOMALY_DETECTION_TECHNIQUES_FOR_FRAUD_DETECTION_IN_CLOUD_ECOSYSTEMS)
- [41] K. Jane, "Ensemble Methods: Combining multiple models to improve prediction accuracy and robustness," Oct. 04, 2024. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384627805\\_Ensemble\\_Methods\\_Combining\\_multiple\\_models\\_to\\_improve\\_prediction\\_accuracy\\_and\\_robustness](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384627805_Ensemble_Methods_Combining_multiple_models_to_improve_prediction_accuracy_and_robustness)
- [42] P. Unterberger and J. M. Müller, "Clustering and Classification of Manufacturing Enterprises Regarding Their Industry 4.0 Reshoring Incentives," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 180, pp. 696–705, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2021.01.292>.
- [43] G. Spini, M. van Heesch, T. Veugen, and S. Chatterjea, "Private Hospital Workflow Optimization via Secure k-Means Clustering," *Journal of Medical Systems*, vol. 44, no. 1, Nov. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-019-1473-4>.
- [44] J. G. C. Ramírez and M. M. Islam, "Navigating the Terrain: Scaling Challenges and Opportunities in AI/ML Infrastructure," *Journal of*

*Artificial Intelligence General science (JAIGS)* ISSN:3006-4023, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 209–228, Mar. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.60087/jaigs.v2i1.p228>.

[45] B. Miller, "ScholarWorks Employee Resistance to Disruptive Technological Change in Higher Education Part of the Business Administration, Management, and Operations Commons, Higher Education Administration Commons, Higher Education and Teaching Commons, and the Management Sciences and Quantitative Methods Commons," 2019. Available: <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=7902&context=dissertations>.