

Journal Name:	Journal of Biochemistry International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOB1_12779
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological and phytochemical profiles of different Trigonella foenum-graecum genotypes
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript contains the basic study based finding related to the topic	Thank you for the feedback.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Okay
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It could be more elaborative from the color differentiation study based data that provide more significant relevance to the current study.	The suggestions has been noted.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Okay
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More recent references can be added for the support of the study.	Noted and done.

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Okay
Optional/General comments	Author should write the genotype name in the same format as in manuscript or in abstract. Mention and cite the references in the appropriate of the journal, there are lot of mistakes in the same regard. Significant difference (C.D.) it should be SD not CD, check it at many other sections too in the entire manuscript irrespective of critical differecons.	Noted and corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	