

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12771
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare and Medicine
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The proper heading format is missing and no numbering given to headings in manuscript. Sub headings must follow the given guideline format. The paper focused over outlines of AI application in heealth care domain. The AI configurations are note clearly mentioned. Need to be focused on some frameworks or models to give more knowledge to academic readers. The specification about multi-modal models	Suggestion of learned reviewer kindly accepted, and incorporated in the revised manuscript appropriately.

	not described in paper by authors. Figures must be generated by authors themselves instead of downloading from websites. Basically the work by authors seems to be a survey analysis of AI application in medical sciences. some grammatical mistakes identified in manuscript which to be corrected by authors before final submission. Conclusion is to be modified in order to satisfy authors work and contribution.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Title is appropriate to paper	Thanks for worthy comment
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, comprehensive need to be focused on work carried in paper than outlining current advancements in AI medical sciences	Thanks for worthy comment
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically it is correct	Thanks for worthy comment
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, Sufficient	Thanks for worthy comment
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are few grammar mistakes to be corrected. Overall language is satisfactory	Suggestion of learned reviewer kindly accepted, and incorporated in the revised manuscript appropriately.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In my view if some model architectures and algorithms working in AI based medical data analysis addition gives more weightage to this manuscript in research pererspective	Suggestion of the learned reviewer has been kindly accepted and will certainly be incorporated in our forthcoming article appropriately.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	