

Journal Name:	<u>PLANT CELL BIOTECHNOLOGY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PCBMB_12682
Title of the Manuscript:	Boosting Mungbean Growth And Soil Fertility: A Sustainable Approach Through Enhanced Nodulation
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Need some grammatical improvement All suggestions and necessary comments have been provided in the manuscript. The author should review the entire manuscript and make modifications according to the journal format and the comments.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	General	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Need some improvement as per comments	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are outdated and insufficient. Additionally, the references used only Introduction section. I advise to the author to include relevant references from similar studies in the Results section as well.	Noted

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is advised to the author should modify the manuscript according to journal's guidelines. • The author did not use any similar studies in the Findings section. It is advised that the author include new references in the Results section. • It is advised that the author align the figures and tables with their respective paragraphs. • The Conclusion section is too lengthy. It is advised that the author focus only on the key research findings they wish to highlight. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	